



# Summary of Quarterly IT Project Reports

APRIL/MAY/JUNE 2015

Prepared by the  
Enterprise Project Management Office  
Published: August 2015

<http://www.oits.ks.gov/kito/epmo/summary-of-information-technology-project-status-reports>

## Quarterly Executive Summary Report

**Active Projects (Project Cost = \$101,707,890)**
**Funding Source for Project Cost**
**(Does not include operational cost)**

5 Projects in Good Standing

3 Projects in Good Standing/Infrastructure

3 Projects in Caution Status

3 Projects in Alert Status

4 Projects in Recast

1 Projects on Hold

2 Insufficient Reporting

1 Stopped Projects

**22 Total Number of Projects**

76% Federal Funds

24% Other Funds (Include State General Funds and  
all other Funding Sources)

14 Projects are managed by a Kansas Certified Project Manager

20 Executive Branch Projects

1 Regents Projects

1 Judicial Projects

0 Legislative Branch Projects

**22 Total Projects by Branches and Regents**
**New Stopped Project – For this Reporting Period (\$40,326,159)**
**Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR)**

DMV Modernization Project – Project Cost: \$40,326,159

**New Planned Projects – For This Reporting Period (\$820,000)**
**Labor, Kansas Department of**

KDOL Incarceration Database and Victim Notification Service (ID&amp;VNS) – Project Cost: \$820,000

**New Approved Projects – For This Reporting Period (\$14,928,558)**
**Fort Hays State University**

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation – Project Cost: \$14,235,338

**Secretary of State**

Elections and Voter Information System Renewal (ELVIS Renewal) – Project Cost: \$693,220

**New Completed Projects – For This Reporting Period (\$)**

No new completed projects.

## Introduction

This report is a summary of reports about information technology projects. Information technology projects are defined as a major computer, telecommunications, or other information technology improvement with an estimated cost of \$250,000 or more from any source of funding, over all fiscal years. The listed reports are approved by the respective branch Chief Information Technology Officer (CITO). The current CITO approved Detailed Project Plan on file with the Kansas Information Technology Office (KITO) is the benchmark for status monitoring.

In accordance with Information Technology Executive Council (ITEC) Policy 2500-Project Status Reporting and the Joint Committee on Information Technology (JCIT) Review of Active Projects Policy 2 - <http://oits.ks.gov/kito/itec/itec-policies>, projects are monitored on a quarterly basis.

JCIT Policy 2 establishes the following specific measures as the basis to evaluate project status. The measures below are addressed individually. However, when a project experiences problems the impact is often reflected in more than one measure. JCIT has determined 30% to be the threshold when a project should be stopped and recast.

JCIT Policy 2 Reference	JCIT Policy 2 Measurement	Primary Documentation used in Analysis	JCIT Policy 2 Condition
<b>5.1 – Critical Path</b>	10% to 20% behind schedule.	WBS	The project will be considered in a yellow or caution status.
	20% or more behind schedule.	WBS	The project will be considered in a red or alert status.
<b>5.2 – Task Completion Rate</b>	Completion Rate of 80%-90%.	WBS	The project will be considered in a yellow or caution status.
	Completion Rate of 80% or less.	WBS	The project will be considered in a red or alert status.
<b>5.3 – Deliverable Completion Rate</b>	Completion Rate of 80%-90%.	WPI	The project will be considered in a yellow or caution status.
	Completion Rate of 80% or less.	WPI	The project will be considered in a red or alert status.
<b>5.4 – Issues</b>		Top Five Issues	Unresolved issues that have a negative impact on the project schedule, budget, or objectives should be concisely documented noting when the issue was presented to the sponsor and what actions have been initiated to achieve resolution.
<b>5.5 Cost – Deviation from Financial Plan</b>	10%-20% deviation from plan.	Transmittal Letter	The project will be considered in a yellow or caution status.
	20%-30% deviation from plan.	Transmittal Letter	The project will be considered in a red or alert status.
	30% or more deviation from plan.	Transmittal Letter	When a project deviates from its CITO-approved project plan by 30% or more it shall be recast. It may go on hold for a time and the project should be recast upon startup. JCIT policy #2 has determined 30% to be the threshold when a project should be stopped.
<b>5.6 – Actual v Planned Resources</b>	Deficiency gap of 15%-20%.	EAC and WBS	The project manager should be acting with the project sponsor to correct this condition.
	Deficiency gap of 20%-25%.	EAC and WBS	There should be a plan to show a compensatory change in resources or a plan to reduce the scope, costs and objectives for the project with approval of the agency head.
	Deficiency gap of 25% or more.	EAC and WBS	Third party review should be considered if the impact is reflected in other measures. The project should not be permitted to drift awaiting a compensatory resources plan or a new reduced project scope plan.
<b>5.7 – Risk</b>		Top Five Risks	The impact may be reflected in more than one measure. The risk report should be evaluated as to whether it reasonably reflects the sum of measures and where present, the progress being achieved with mitigation plans.

Established procedures for changes to project plans should be followed. Changes in a project of more than 10% are not approved in this quarterly reporting process. Any change in planned expenditures for an information technology project that would result in the total authorized cost of the project being increased above the currently authorized cost of such project by more than either \$1,000,000 or 10% of such currently authorized cost of such project, whichever is lower or any change in the scope of an information technology project should be presented and reviewed by the chief information technology officer to whom the project was submitted pursuant to K.S.A. 79-7209.

All new Approved, Recast, Completed and Planned projects for this reporting period are in **BOLD**.

New Active projects for the quarter and projects that are in a Caution, Alert or Recast status for the quarter will be noted in **BOLD** and **ALL CAPS**.

**Project Cost:** Planning, execution and closeout dollars of a project.

**Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:** Three future years of operational/maintenance/ongoing costs after the project is completed.

All new Approved, Active, Recast, Completed, Planned projects occurring after the reporting period are *italicized and noted with an asterisk* \*.

## ACTIVE PROJECTS TOTAL \$101,707,890 \$43,730,036

Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE BRANCH</b>						
<b>ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
ACTIVE-CAUTION-NEW	<a href="#">Focus on Customer Upgrade Support (FOCUS) Project</a>	\$4,257,952	\$5,338,974	SGF Acctg Recovery Services Fund	11% 89%	11
Completed	<a href="#">Oracle BI Analytics Implementation – Data Warehouse Upgrade II</a>	\$2,063,061	\$692,679	SGF Acctg Recovery Svcs Fund IT Fund Bldgs Op Fund	1% 98% .4% .6%	55
<b>CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, DEPARTMENT FOR (DCF)</b>						
Approved	<a href="#">Child Support Services System Modernization Planning Project</a>	\$972,480	\$0	SGF Federal Match	34% 66%	61
Approved	<a href="#">HB2015 Project</a>	\$2,467,454	\$16,578	Social Welfare Fund Child Support Enforcement Admin	34% 66%	62
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned	<a href="#">DCF Cloud Computing (DCC)</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	72
Planned	<a href="#">DCF Enterprise Content Management Assessment (DECMA)</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	73
Planned	<a href="#">DCF Mainframe Application Migration (DMAM)</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	74
Planned	<a href="#">DCF Office 365 Implementation (DOI)</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	75
<b>CORPORATION COMMISSION, KANSAS</b>						
Active	<a href="#">Kansas Trucking Regulatory Assistance Network (KTRAN)</a>	\$990,115	\$90,000	KCC CVISN Grant	100%	13
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned	<a href="#">Document Management System</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	76

# PROJECT REPORT OVERVIEW

April-May-June 2014

	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
<b>CORRECTIONS, DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
Planned	<a href="#">Kansas Juvenile and Adult Correction System (KJACS)</a>	\$17,000,000 - \$22,000,000	\$3,000,000	SGF Grant Funding	To Be Determined	77
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>EDUCATION, KANSAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
Active	<a href="#">KN-CLAIM System Replacement</a>	\$1,381,163	\$203,747	USDA Admin Reviews and Trng Grant USDA Direct Cert Outstanding Perf Award State Admin Expense Fund	73%  18%  9%	15
<b>HEALING ARTS, KANSAS STATE BOARD OF</b>						
Completed	<a href="#">Licensing/Enforcement Database Application</a>	\$343,359	\$120,000	Agency Fee Fund	100%	55
<b>HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
ACTIVE-RECAST	<a href="#">Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV) Project</a>	\$25,077,223	\$33,535,610	SGF Health Resource & Svcs Admin Ctr for Medicare & Medicaid Services (90) Ctr for Medicare & Medicaid Services (75) Ctr for Medicare & Medicaid Services (50) Temp Assist for Needy Families Supplemental Nutrition Assist Program Adoption Low Income Energy Assist Program Child Care Foster Care	16% 2% 48% 9% 0% 13% 5% 10% 4% 3% 0%	17
ACTIVE-RECAST-NEW	<a href="#">Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) / Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Pre-Project III</a>	\$668,478	\$0	SGF FFP-Medicaid	11% 89%	21
Active-New	<a href="#">KDHE/DHCF SSIF Claims Data Management System Project</a>	\$673,757	\$341,990	SSIF	100%	24
Active	<a href="#">WIC SQL Server Project – Infrastructure</a>	\$300,917	\$0	USDA NSA Grant	100%	26

# PROJECT REPORT OVERVIEW

April-May-June 2014

Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
Approved	<a href="#">KDHE/DHCF MMIS Modernization and Fiscal Agent Operations Takeover Services Reprocurement Project</a>	\$96,593,543	\$0	Fed Financial Participation (Medicaid) SSIF	89% 11%	63
<b>HIGHWAY PATROL, KANSAS</b>						
Completed	<a href="#">Digital Video Refresh - Infrastructure</a>	\$2,230,756	\$66,000	KHP Op Fund	100%	56
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, KANSAS OFFICE OF</b>						
Active-New	<a href="#">OITS Executive Branch Electronic Mail Consolidation</a>	\$9,747,325	\$300,000	OITS Clearing Fund	100%	27
ACTIVE-RECAST-NEW	<a href="#">OITS Information Technology Financial Management (ITFM) System II</a>	\$1,002,826	\$0	OITS Clearing Fund	100%	29
ACTIVE-ALERT-NEW	<a href="#">OITS Internet Upgrade FY 2015 - Infrastructure</a>	\$2,361,834	\$0	OITS Fund OITS Depr. Fund	53% 47%	31
Active-New	<a href="#">State Defense Building Fiber Infrastructure</a>	\$1,299,879	\$0	OITS Rates	100%	33
Approved	<a href="#">Executive Branch Technology Modernization (EBTM) - Infrastructure</a>	\$24,435,156	\$8,299,696	OITS Rates OITS Overhead	99% 1%	64
<b>INVESTIGATION, KANSAS BUREAU OF</b>						
ACTIVE-RECAST-CAUTION-NEW	<a href="#">KS DUI Tracking System (Record &amp; Police Impaired Drivers-RAPID) III</a>	\$2,252,043	\$454,500	State Hwy Fund Record Check Fee	98% 2%	34
Approved	<a href="#">Security Architecture Modernization - Identity Access Mgmt. (SAM-IAM)</a>	\$533,840	90,000	SGF TRCC Grant	16% 84%	65
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned	<a href="#">Kansas Incident Based Reporting Replacement</a>	\$625,000	\$225,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	79
Planned	<a href="#">Livescan Equipment Purchase</a>	\$304,690	\$0	Federal Grant Funding	10/15 - 9/16	80
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>JUVENILE JUSTICE AUTHORITY</b>						
ACTIVE-RECAST-HOLD	<a href="#">Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) Rewrite II</a>	\$622,460	\$246,584	SGF Juvenile Accountability Block Grant	45% 55%	37

# PROJECT REPORT OVERVIEW

April-May-June 2014

Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>KANSAS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM</b>						
ACTIVE-RECAST	<a href="#">Kansas eCitation Project II</a>	\$480,140	\$30,000	State Traffic Records Fund Nat'l Hwy Trans Safety Admin Section 408 Grant	85% 15%	39
<b>LABOR, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
Active-New	<a href="#">KDOL Unemployment Insurance Contact Center IVR Upgrade – Infrastructure</a>	\$2,925,612	\$420,000	USDOL UI Automation Grant UI Operational Grant	85% 15%	41
ACTIVE-ALERT-NEW	<a href="#">KDOL Worker's Compensation Digitization Planning Project</a>	\$583,620	\$0	Ks WC Fee Fund	100%	43
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned-New	<a href="#">KDOL Incarceration Database and Victim Notification Service (ID&amp;VNS)</a>	\$820,000	\$60,000	USDOL Grant & USDOL Operational Grand	9/15 – 9/17	81
Planned	<a href="#">KDOL Workers Compensation Digitization Implementation</a>	\$8,000,000 - \$12,000,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	10/16 – 12/18	83
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>LOTTERY, KANSAS</b>						
Active – Insufficient Reporting	<a href="#">Sales Force Automation and Electronic Device Deployment</a>					45
<b>PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, KANSAS</b>						
Completed	<a href="#">2012 Sub HB 2333 – Tier 3 Cash Balance System</a>	\$803,800	\$0	KPERS Fund	100%	56
<b>REVENUE, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF</b>						
Active-Stopped	<a href="#">DMV Modernization</a>	\$40,326,159	\$1,999,832	Div of Vehicle Modernization Fund Vehicle Operating Fund INK Grant	98% 1% 1%	46
Active – Insufficient Reporting	<a href="#">KanDrive</a>					48
Completed	<a href="#">Kansas Commercial Registration, Alcoholic Beverage Control, Fuel Tax System (K-CRAFTS)</a>	\$3,346,040	\$780,000	CIVSN Grant DMV Fund International Registration Fee Cigarette/ Tobacco Products Regulation Fund SGF	58% 23% 5% 9% 5%	57
Approved	<a href="#">CDL Knowledge and Skill Testing System</a>	\$429,094	\$0	CDL Grant Fund	100%	66
Approved	<a href="#">Taxation Imaging</a>	\$691,507	\$146,085	SGF	100%	67



**SECRETARY OF STATE, KANSAS**

Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
Approved-New	<a href="#">Elections and Voter Information System Renewal (ELVIS Renewal)</a>	\$693,220	\$1,950,000	Retained HAVA Federal Funds and Funds Paid by Counties	100%	68
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page

**TRANSPORTATION, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF**

ACTIVE-CAUTION-NEW	<a href="#">Document Management System Replacement</a>	\$1,300,385	\$538,000	State Hwy Fund	100%	49
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned	<a href="#">CANSYS Replacement (CANSYS)</a>	\$2,200,000 – \$4,400,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	SFY 2016 – SFY 2018	86
Planned	<a href="#">Capital Inventory Management System (CPIN) Replacement</a>	\$300,000 - \$600,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	SFY 2016 – SFY 2017	87
Planned	<a href="#">Construction Management System (CMS) Replacement</a>	\$3,850,000 - \$5,500,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	SFY 2015 – SFY 2018	88
Planned	<a href="#">Consumable Inventory Management System (CIMS)</a>	\$300,000 - \$450,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	SFY 2015 – SFY 2016	89
Planned	<a href="#">Equipment Management System (EMS)</a>	\$600,000 - \$1,200,000	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	SFY 2017 – SFY 2019	90
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page

**REGENTS****FORT HAYS STATE UNIVERSITY**

Approved-New	FHSU ERP Implementation	\$14,235,335	\$3,564,420	SGF China Partnership	45% 55%	69
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page

**KANSAS, UNIVERSITY OF**

Planned	<a href="#">Exchange 2013</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	91
Planned	<a href="#">Lync Enterprise Voice Implementation (Lync UC)</a>	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	To Be Determined	92
Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page

**KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY**

ACTIVE-ALERT	<a href="#">KSU Converged Infrastructure</a>	\$5,140,135	\$78,750	SGF	100%	51
	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operation Cost	Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost	Estimated Planning Start/Close Out End	Page
Planned	<a href="#">Applicant Tracking System (ATS)</a>	\$350,000	\$150,000	K-State Central Funding	5/15 - 516	



**PROJECT REPORT OVERVIEW****April-May-June 2014**

Department	Project Name	Project Cost	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost	Funding Source for Project Cost	Percentage	Page
<b>PITTSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY</b>						
Completed	<a href="#">PSU Integrated Library System Project (ILS)</a>	\$512,072	\$211,500	Univ Reserve Fund	100%	57
Approved	<a href="#">PSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)</a>	\$2,361,500	\$855,000	SGF Univ Reserve Fund	20% 80%	70
<b>JUDICIAL BRANCH</b>						
Active	<a href="#">Judicial Branch (OJA) Electronic Filing Statewide Implementation Project</a>	\$315,867	\$152,049	E-Filing Mgmt	100%	53
Completed	<a href="#">Judicial Branch (OJA) Filings and Dispositions Data Submission Interface Project</a>	\$595,000	\$0	TREF	100%	58
<b>LEGISLATIVE BRANCH</b>						
Completed	<a href="#">2013 PC Lease Project-Infrastructure</a>	\$469,740	\$573,105	SGF	100%	59

All new Approved, Recast, Completed and Planned projects for this reporting period are in **BOLD**.

New Active projects for the quarter and projects that are in a Caution, Alert or Recast status for the quarter will be noted in **BOLD** and **ALL CAPS**.

**Project Cost:** Planning, execution and closeout dollars of a project.

**Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:** Three future years of operational/maintenance/ongoing costs after the project is completed.

All new Approved, Active, Recast, Completed, Planned projects occurring after the reporting period are *italicized and noted with an asterisk \**.

## ACTIVE PROJECTS SECTION

Projects in this section have received CITO approval of their Detailed Project Plan and are in the Execution Phase. Agencies submit quarterly project status reports in accordance with ITEC Policy 2500 r1 – Project Status Reporting and JCIT Policy #2 until the end of the Execution Phase. Projects that exceed established thresholds are required to fulfill appropriate remedies outlined in JCIT Policy #2 before the project can move forward.

### TERMS

CITO Council	A management group consisting of the three (3) Chief Information Technology Officers (CITO) representing the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of Kansas state government.
Execution Start	This is the start date on the current CITO approved detailed plan that “triggers” the beginning of the execution phase. The trigger date is an event (i.e. hardware/software purchase or installation, code development, etc.) identified by the agency. Execution start is the benchmark for JCIT reporting requirements.
Execution End	This is the end date on the current CITO approved detailed plan. The execution end date is the benchmark for JCIT reporting requirements.
Project Cost	Planning, execution and close out dollars of a project.
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost	Three future years of operational/maintenance/ongoing costs after the project is completed.
Execution Project Cost	Project dollars associated with the internal and external costs of the execution phase.
Execution Cost to Date	Project dollars expended through the reporting end date for the execution phase.
Internal Cost	Includes direct costs, not including overhead, of state government staff associated with the execution phase.
External Cost	Project dollars associated with an agency’s contracted costs and overhead for the execution phase.
Adjusted	Agency modified schedule and or cost by less than 10%.
Funding Source for Project Cost	This item identifies project financing by percentage of funding source.
Infrastructure	These are primarily hardware or software initiatives that do involve not system development work. They are the underlying foundation or basic framework of a system or resources.
On Hold Until	A significant event and or change. The agency head has asked the project be placed in a temporary hold status. The CITO has approved the request.
Subproject	A portion or sub-set of the full project, CITO approvals may be given at the sub-project level as the project progresses.
Vendor	Contractor for the project. If there is more than one contractor the primary responsibilities are identified.



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Project Report Assessments

## EXECUTIVE BRANCH

## Administration, Department of

## Focus on Customer Upgrade Support (FOCUS) Project

C	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:		3/18/15	Project Manager: Sunni Zentner	
	Project Cost:		\$4,257,952	(Ext. planning, execution and closeout)	
+	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:		\$5,338,974		
	Execution Project Cost:		\$3,752,651	Execution Cost to Date: \$2,185,166	
	Internal Cost:		\$969,356	Internal Cost to Date: \$590,290	
	External Cost:		\$2,783,295	External Cost to Date: \$1,594,876	
	Execution Start:		11/17/14	Execution End: 12/15/15	
				Adjusted Execution End: 12/18/15	
	<u>Funding Source for Project Cost</u>			<u>Vendor</u>	
	State General Fund		11%	Sierra-Cedar, Inc.	
	Accounting Recovery Services Fund		89%		

The project will involve transitioning the existing SHARP (State Human Resource and Payroll), BI (Business Intelligence) Analytics, and PHIRE (Application Change Management) systems to a hosted environment. In parallel, the project will upgrade the existing SMART (Statewide Management and Reporting Tool) to Oracle PeopleSoft Financials and Supply Chain Management (FSCM) v9.2 with go-live in the hosted environment. The Department of Administration has been unsuccessful at recruiting and retaining individuals with the technical expertise necessary to provide stability for these mission critical systems. The transition to a hosted environment will transfer the technical responsibility to the vendor and allow for a gain in efficiency of day-to-day maintenance.

**For the Reporting Period:** The SMART upgrade project is proceeding within the original cost estimates. The project is on target to be completed in the originally planned timeframe.

**Project Status:** Project is in caution status with a task completion rate of 87%.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$486,827		
Internal Cost:	\$155,467		
External Cost:	\$331,360		
Estimated Start:	10/14	Estimated End:	12/14

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

⚠ Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

⏸ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

### Focus on Customer Upgrade Support (FOCUS) Project (Continued)

#### Execution

##### Subproject II – Analyze and Design

CITO Approval:	3/18/15	Execution Cost to Date:	\$1,159,432
Execution Cost:	\$1,328,494	Internal Cost to Date:	\$328,548
Internal Cost:	\$334,274	External Cost to Date:	\$830,884
External Cost:	\$994,220	Execution End:	5/13/15
Execution Start:	11/17/14	Adjusted Execution End:	5/29/15

##### Subproject III – Configure and Develop

CITO Approval:	3/18/15	Execution Cost to Date:	\$986,551
Execution Cost:	\$1,162,006	Internal Cost to Date:	\$222,559
Internal Cost:	\$239,949	External Cost to Date:	\$763,992
External Cost:	\$922,057	Execution End:	7/16/15
Execution Start:	2/23/15	Adjusted Execution End:	9/23/15

##### Subproject IV – Test and Train


CITO Approval:	3/18/15	Execution Cost to Date:	\$39,183
Execution Cost:	\$937,757	Internal Cost to Date:	\$39,183
Internal Cost:	\$265,616	External Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$672,141	Execution End:	10/20/15
Execution Start:	6/11/15	Adjusted Execution End:	11/4/15

##### Subproject V – Deploy and Optimize


CITO Approval:	3/18/15	Execution Cost to Date:	\$0
Execution Cost:	\$324,393	Internal Cost to Date:	\$0
Internal Cost:	\$129,517	External Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$194,876	Execution End:	12/15/15
Execution Start:	10/5/15	Adjusted Execution End:	12/18/15


#### Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$18,474	Estimated End:	2/16
Internal Cost:	\$18,474		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	12/15		


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Corporation Commission, Kansas



## Kansas Trucking Regulatory Assistance Network (KTRAN)

CITO High-Level Plan Approval: 10/17/13 Project Manager: Cathy Rinehart  
 CITO Revised High-Level Plan Approval: 1/3/14  
 CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 1/8/14  
 Estimated Project Cost: \$990,115 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$90,000

Execution Project Cost:	\$905,010	Execution Cost To Date:	\$185,202
Internal Cost:	\$430,363	Internal Cost to Date:	\$58,798
External Cost:	\$474,647	Execution Cost to Date:	\$126,404
Execution Start:	1/13/14	Execution End:	1/31/17

Funding Source for Project Cost

KCC Comm. Vehicle Info. Sys. &amp; Networks 100%

Vendor

None Reported

Active

KCC Motor carrier regulatory activities currently utilize a system comprised of disparate database tables and an Oracle Forms front-end. The current system also provides limited online functionality to the Kansas motor carrier community. Motor Carrier Division personnel use extensive manual and semi-automated procedures to accomplish multiple functions supporting KCC's regulatory mission.

Two key areas of estimated cost savings in the form of carrier economic benefits have been identified in support of the KTRAN project. The first benefit area revolves around the concept of KTRAN providing a more efficient platform upon which Kansas motor carriers may do business with KCC. A second benefit area can be found in the costs avoided by potential motor carriers who utilize KTRAN to determine the feasibility of starting a carrier business in Kansas. In this case, potential carriers decide not to incur common start-up expenses. Each of these benefit areas are discussed in the next sections.

**For the reporting period:** The Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC) completed developing the Unified Carrier Registration (UCR) module that will let a motor carrier pay their UCR in the Kansas Trucking Regulatory Assistance Network (KTRAN) system via the Information Network of Kansas (INK). The KTRAN project team also completed the design of the agency and public user interfaces. The KCC received CITO approval on 6/9/15 for the KTRAN Subproject II to begin the system development, testing, and implementation.

**Project Status:** The project cost has increased by \$27,720 as per a Change Management Request. In developing the detailed requirements for the KTRAN project, the KCC determined that they needed to purchase Oracle software for two of their KCC databases to interface with each other. The total cost of the software is approximately \$27,720. The KCC will be using the same Federal grant monies that are being used for the KTRAN project.

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Kansas Trucking Regulatory Assistance Network (KTRAN) (Continued)

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$82,292		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$82,292		
Estimated Start:	5/13	Estimated End:	1/14

Active

## Execution

## Subproject I – Detailed Design


CITO Approval:	1/8/14		
Execution Cost:	\$342,875	Execution Cost to Date:	\$185,202
Internal Cost:	\$188,495	Internal Cost to Date:	\$58,798
External Cost:	\$154,380	External Cost to Date:	\$126,404
Execution Start:	1/13/14	Execution End:	7/2/15

## Subproject II – System Development

CITO Approval:	6/9/15		
Execution Cost:	\$562,135	Execution Cost to Date:	\$0
Internal Cost:	\$241,868	Internal Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$320,267	External Cost to Date:	\$0
Execution Start:	7/3/15	Execution End:	1/31/17


## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,813		
Internal Cost:	\$2,813		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	2/17	Estimated End:	2/17


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Education, Kansas State Department of (KSDE)****KN-CLAIM System Replacement**

CITO High-Level Approval: 8/7/14 Project Manager: Danette Cox  
 CITO Detailed Level Approval: 11/17/14  
 Project Cost: \$1,381,163 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$203,747

Execution Project Cost:	\$1,366,618	Execution Cost To Date:	\$329,269
Internal Cost:	\$242,698	Internal Cost to Date:	\$94,747
External Cost:	\$1,123,920	External Cost to Date:	\$234,522
Execution Start:	11/18/14	Execution End:	4/14/16

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

USDA Admin. Reviews and Training Grant	73%
USDA Direct Cert. Outstanding Perf. Award	18%
State Administrative Expense Fund	9%

Vendor

Dynamic Internet Solutions

The Kansas Nutrition – Claims and Information Management (KN-CLAIM) system, used to collect data and process claims in all the child nutrition programs administered by Child Nutrition and Wellness staff, was purchased in 2004 and is based within the now-obsolete class Active Server Pages (classic ASP) engine and Visual Basic 6 (VB6) runtime language. Primarily due to its inherent security flaws, inefficiencies, interpreted processing, component model and poor performance, class ASP is now obsolete technology. Microsoft discontinued mainstream support in March 2005, with final end of life in April 2008. The use of classic ASP and its necessary VB6 runtime-only files will be available only throughout the lifetime of Windows 7 client and 2008 R2 server in order to allow organizations time to redevelop their classic ASP application. Because classic ASP is obsolete and unchanging, there also exists an ongoing, compounding lack of resources and degrading skill set for support within the application development community.

It is essential that KN-CLAIM be rewritten in ASP.NET format so that child nutrition professionals and KSDE staff members have access to Microsoft-supported technology that includes crucial improvements to processing, performance and security. The upcoming release of the new federal guidelines for administrative review of school nutrition service administration further compounds the need to expand the functionality that exists in the current KN-CLAIM system, as KSDE staff members rely on KN-CLAIM to provide data to complete reviews. The need to replace KN-CLAIM with a Microsoft-supported .NET system also presents an opportunity to reduce administrative error among users by including functionality to eliminate redundant data collection, enhance reporting, improve workflow process, increase automation and allow for more effective data integration between programs.

**For the Reporting Period:** The vendor provided quality deliverables during this reporting period. Other states have seen the Kansas product under development at conferences and are interested in getting copies of the code for their own state child nutrition claiming systems. This gives the vendor additional incentive to complete the project on time. The Department now holds discussions with the vendor through conference calls and join.me sessions at least twice a week to review progress and discuss any questions.

**Active**[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Meeting targeted goals.

Project Stopped/Canceled.

Project completed and waiting for PIER.

Infrastructure Project

Project completed and PIER approved

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

Project on hold.

Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology



### KN-CLAIM System Replacement

#### Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost: \$13,640  
 Internal Cost: \$13,640  
 External Cost: \$0  
 Estimated Start: 4/14

Estimated End: 11/14

#### Execution

**CITO Approval:** 11/17/14  
**Execution Cost:** \$1,366,618  
**Internal Cost:** \$242,698  
**External Cost:** \$1,123,920  
**Execution Start:** 11/18/14

**Execution Cost to Date:** \$329,269  
**Internal Cost to Date:** \$94,747  
**External Cost to Date:** \$234,522  
**Execution End:** 4/14/16

#### Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost: \$905  
 Internal Cost: \$905  
 External Cost: \$0  
 Estimated Start: 4/16

Estimated End: 4/16

Active

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Health and Environment, Kansas Department of (KDHE)****Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV)**

CITO Council High-Level Plan Approval:	9/30/10	Project Manager:	April Nicholson
CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	2/10/12		
CITO KEES II Recast Plan Approval:	7/26/12		
CITO KEES III Recast Plan Approval:	9/29/14		
CITO KEES IV Recast Plan Approval:	4/29/15		
Project Cost:	\$25,077,223	(Planning, execution and close-out)	
Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$33,535,610		

Execution Project Cost:	\$24,877,223	Execution Cost to Date:	\$9,218,963
Internal Cost:	\$4,806,877	Internal Cost to Date:	\$1,654,974
External Cost:	\$20,070,346	External Cost to Date:	\$7,563,989
Execution Start:	1/1/15	Execution End:	4/6/16
		Adjusted Execution End:	4/29/16

Funding Source for Project Cost


State General Fund	16%
Health Resources & Services Administration	2%
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Svcs (90)	48%
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Svcs (75)	9%
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Svcs (50)	0%
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	13%
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	5%
Adoption	0%
Low Income Energy Assistance Program	4%
Child Care	3%
Foster Care	0%

Vendor


Accenture, LLP – Project Management,  
Infrastructure, Application,  
Implementation

Active-Recast

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), Division of Health Care Finance (DHCF) received High-Level Chief Information Technology Officer (CITO) project approval for the Kansas Medical Eligibility Determination (K-MED) Project on 7/6/11. On 8/30/11 KDHE-DHCF expanded the scope of the contract with Accenture to include the Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF) AVENUES Project. On 8/30/11 the State of Kansas re-named the combined K-MED and AVENUES project the Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System (KEES). While this is a single project it has multiple funding sources. In order to maintain continuity with historical documentation, project-related contracts, and previous official correspondence with Federal Partners providing funding through its Advanced Planning Document (APD), the medical eligibility scope (KDHE-DHCF) of KEES will be referred to as K-MED and other Health and Human Services eligibility (DCF) will continue to be referred to as AVENUES. K-MED will handle all insurance eligibility determinations, and also determine the appropriate source and ratio of federal, state, and individual funding, including any subsidy amounts that may be available for those who qualify. Eligibility for all Medicaid groups, Child Health Insurance Program (CHIP), and subsidized insurance will be integrated into one (1) eligibility system. An online application for all Medicaid, CHIP, and insurance programs is being procured as a part of K-MED as well as an online presumptive eligibility tool. K-MED will provide a single integrated portal so individuals applying for health coverage will be considered for all medical programs as prescribed by federal law. In addition to the above functionality, the overall architecture of KEES will be such that the entire system or its components can be reused by other programs and agencies. One example of potential reuse may occur when the state's Medicaid


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).


 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV) (Continued)

Management Information System (MMIS) is re-procured in 2015 – Kansas intends to use the eligibility system as the beneficiary sub-system rather than to rebuild or replace the current one. Functionality will have to be added later to accommodate these changes, but the system is being designed with this type of reusability in mind. Kansas is intentionally building a system other agencies and states can reuse in whole or in part to modernize the technology supporting human services programs. Kansas’ intent is to design and implement a system that will economize by reducing the number of redundant purchases for similar functionality and/or technology across state agencies. Kansas is even in discussions with other states about how they might be able to reuse this technology. KEES will play a large role in helping reduce costs associated with Medicaid and other state benefits by streamlining the eligibility determination phase of the process, which is essential in our efforts to improve health outcomes in Kansas. The state expects to realize significant savings from improved accuracy in determining eligibility for state medical, cash and food assistance programs. KEES will automatically cross-reference state and federal data sources to identify ineligible applicants. At the same time, the system will streamline service delivery for those who qualify. **KEES II** -- The Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Division of Health Care Finance received high-level CITO project plan approval for the Kansas Medical Eligibility Determination (K-MED) Project on 7/6/11. Since receiving this approval several significant events have taken place in the state of Kansas that changed the scope of the K-MED project. These changes are noted: On 7/1/11, the KHPA, the state’s Medicaid agency transitioned into the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) as the Division of Health Care Finance (DHCF). The merger was achieved through an executive reorganization order designed to create a more efficient state government and save Kansas taxpayers more than \$1 million the first fiscal year; on 8/9/11 Kansas returned a \$31.5 million “early innovator” grant it received from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in February 2011 in full. Consequently, money from that grant has been removed from this detailed budget and cost allocation in this re-submittal; on 8/29/11 KDHE-DHCF executed a contract with Accenture, LLP. to implement K-MED; on 8/30/11 KDHE-DHCF expanded the scope of the contract with Accenture to include the Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services AVENUES Project; on 8/30/11 the State of Kansas re-named the combined K-MED and AVENUES project the Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System (KEES). KEES is designed with the entire State of Kansas in mind. As the electronic front door to state services, this system will improve the eligibility process and identify significant savings for the state. The state expects to realize significant savings from improved accuracy in determining eligibility for state medical, cash and food assistance programs; and on 7/1/12 SRS was re-named by executive order of the Governor as the Kansas Department for Children and Families (DCF). KEES II is a multi-program system built using a Service Oriented Architecture and has received strong support from KDHEs and DCFs federal partners; The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Services (FNS) and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). While this is a single project it has multiple funding sources. **KEES III** – KEES III will handle all insurance eligibility determinations, and also determine the appropriate source and ratio of federal, state, and individual funding, including any subsidy amounts that may be available for those who qualify. Eligibility for all Medicaid groups, CHIP, and subsidized insurance will be integrated into one eligibility system. An online application for all Medicaid, Child Health Insurance Program (CHIP), and insurance programs is being procured as a part of K-MED as well as on online presumptive

Active-Recast

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV) (Continued)**

eligibility tool. K-MED will provide a single integrated portal so that individuals applying for health coverage functionality, the overall architecture of KEES III will be such that the entire system or components of it can be reused by other programs and agencies. One example of potential reuse is that when the state's Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) is re-procured in 2015, Kansas intends to use the eligibility system as the beneficiary sub-system rather than to rebuild or replace the current one. Functionality will have to be added later to accommodate these changes, but the system is being designed with this type of reusability in mind. **KEES IV** – KEES IV will continue the efforts begun in KEES III. Phases 2 (K-MED) and 3 (AVENUES) will be completed.

Kansas is intentionally building a system that other agencies and other states can reuse in whole or in part to modernize the technology supporting its human services programs. Kansas' intent is to design and implement a system that will economize by reducing the number of redundant purchases for similar functionality and/or technology across state agencies and is even in discussions with other states about how they might be able to reuse this technology. KEES IV will play a large role in helping reduce costs associated with Medicaid and other state benefits by streamlining the eligibility determination phase of the process, which is essential in our efforts to improve health outcomes in Kansas. The state expects to realize significant savings from improved accuracy in determining eligibility for state medical, cash and food assistance programs. KEES IV will automatically cross-reference state and federal data sources to identify ineligible applicants. At the same time, the system will streamline service delivery for those who qualify.

Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

Ks Eligibility Enforcement System I	\$90,663,436
Ks Eligibility Enforcement System II	\$60,658,088
Ks Eligibility Enforcement System III	\$18,345,736

Actual expenditures (not cumulative)

\$30,349,580
\$56,476,673
\$5,589,771

**On 5/4/15, KDHE submitted revised documentation for expenditures incurred during KEES I-III. The amended numbers are as follows:**

Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

Ks Eligibility Enforcement System I	\$90,663,436
Ks Eligibility Enforcement System II	\$60,658,088
Ks Eligibility Enforcement System III	\$18,345,736
Ks Eligibility Enforcement System IV	\$24,877,223

Actual expenditures (not cumulative)

\$41,301,633
\$66,707,834
\$5,689,771
See Above Execution Costs



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

[Return](#)  
[to](#)  
[Index](#)

**Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV) (Continued)****Project Gains**

**Ks Eligibility Enforcement System I:** conducted Performance Testing for Phase 1; conducted Security Penetration Testing for Phase 1; completed load of Production software into Production Environment; completed Phase 1 Training; and finalized Phase 1 Post-Implementation User Support Guide.

**Ks Eligibility Enforcement System II:** completed Phase 2.5 Build. Finalized preparations for November go-live for Phase 2.6 Build. Began work on Phase 3 Build.

**Ks Eligibility Enforcement System III:** continued work on Phase 2.6 and Phase 3.

**For the reporting period: April:** Continued testing MMIS in System Test (Daily and Monthly). Deployed Build 2.6.004 to System Test. Accenture took ownership of managing the P2.6 Implementation and Cutover Plan.

**May:** Obtained approval of the P3 KITO plan on 5/11/15. Completed P3 Build 6 and deployed to System Test. Continued MMIS testing and completed 33.9% of the implementation cutover activities for P2.6.

**June:** KEES WENT LIVE!! Implemented P2.6 into Controlled Production on 6/28/15. Entered Full Production on 7/6/15.

**Recast – KEES IV****CITO Approval:****4/29/15****Execution Project Cost:****\$24,877,223****Execution Cost to Date:****\$9,218,963****Internal Cost:****\$4,806,877****Internal Cost to Date:****\$1,654,974****External Cost:****\$20,070,346****External Cost to Date:****\$7,563,989****Execution Start:****1/1/15****Execution End:****4/6/16****Adjusted Execution End:****4/29/16****Close-Out****Estimated Project Cost:****\$200,000****Internal Cost:****\$150,000****External Cost:****\$50,000****Estimated Start:****7/15****Estimated End:****4/16**

[Return  
to  
Index](#)



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Health and Environment, Kansas Department of (KDHE)****Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) / Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Pre-Project III**

CITO High-Level Plan Approval: 3/5/13 Project Manager: Louann Gebhards

CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 11/21/13

CITO Recast II Detailed Plan Approval: 9/9/14

CITO Recast III Detailed Plan Approval: 7/14/15\*

Project Cost: \$668,478 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)

Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$0

Execution Project Cost: \$642,430 Execution Cost to Date: \$330,674

Internal Cost: \$318,080 Internal Cost to Date: \$246,471

External Cost: \$324,330 External Cost to Date: \$84,203

Execution Start: 4/1/15 Estimated Execution End: 7/31/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

State General Fund 11%

Fed. Financial Participation (FFP)–Medicaid 89%

Vendor

Cognosante, LLC

Active-Recast-New

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment-Division of Health Care Finance (KDHE-DHCF) serves as the Medicaid Single State Agency for the State of Kansas, as defined by 45 CFR 205.100. The statutory mission of the agency is to develop and maintain a coordinated health policy agenda that combines effective purchasing and administration of health care with health promotion oriented public health strategies. The powers, duties and functions of the Division are intended to be exercised to improve the health of the people of Kansas by increasing the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of health services and public health programs. KDHE-DHCF currently contracts with Hewlett Packard Enterprise Services (HPES) to operate its Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) and act as its Fiscal Agent. The current contract expires 6/30/15.

This first project will concentrate on the tasks associated with planning. A second project will follow that will concentrate on either implementing a new MMIS or transferring and enhancing the current Kansas MMIS. As part of the first effort, KDHE is planning to solicit competitive proposals to issue a MITA/MMIS Reprocurement Pre-Project Request for Proposal (RFP) for technical assistance and award a consultant contract.

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



**Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) / Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)  
Pre-Project III (Continued)**

With this project, the State of Kansas seeks to procure a modular MMIS, as well as a Fiscal Agent to support some or all of the MMIS. The modular MMIS must have a focus on Service Oriented Architecture (SOA). Following are KDHE's goals for the modular MMIS:

- Provide information management tools and technical expertise to assist KDHE and its sister agencies in effectively managing the State Medicaid program. Support monitoring the performance of KanCare Managed Care Organizations (MCOs).
- Use a modular approach to create a framework that is aligned with MITA Version 3.0 and supported by a SOA and unified data governance. KDHE expects this modular approach to result in low-risk MMIS compliance and more efficient customer service.
- Meet the CMS Seven Conditions and Standards (7C&S) and promote the use of industry standards for information exchange and interoperability, providing a seamless business services environment for KDHE users

KDHE currently contracts with Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Services (HPES) to operate its MMIS and act as its Fiscal Agent. The current contract expires 1/1/16. In order to get the State of Kansas ready for a new MMIS contract and to work with a new vendor, KDHE needs to conduct the planning necessary to implement a new contract by 2/1/15.

**Planned Overall Cost (Cumulative)**

MITA/MMIS Pre-Project I	\$2,171,020
MITA/MMIS Pre-Project II	\$867,934
MITA/MMIS Pre-Project III	\$668,478

**Actual Expenditures (Not Cumulative)**

\$1,272,824
\$722,197
See Execution Cost to Date Above

**Project Gains**

All project metrics including scope, schedule, and risk management have been completed. The schedule had to be adjusted in order to response to the new implementation timeline of the ICD-10 diagnosis code set upgrade.

**MITA/MMIS Pre-Project II:** A Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued and responses have been evaluated. KDHE-DHCF staff have completed the evaluations of all vendors, and has now entered, and has now entered into best and final offer (BAFO) negotiations with the selected vendor for all phases of the MMIS Replacement project



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

Active-Recast-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)



## Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) / Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Pre-Project III (Continued)

**For the reporting period:** *The recast plan for MITA/MMIS Pre-Project III was approved 7/14/15\*.*

The overall project timeline was extended due to delays that occurred in the final evaluation process. Additionally, due to those delays, KDHE requested an additional advanced planning document (APD) budget increase to offset additional expenses incurred by state staff and the main contractor, Cognosante. KDHE also, extended and increased the base contractual amount for Cognosante, to include some additional evaluation deliverables related to the cost proposals submitted by the bidding vendors. However, all sub-contracted deliverables required of Cognosante have been executed, delivered and approved as of 7/10/15; Cognosante's contract expired 6/30/15. Final billing for services and further release of 10% withholds are pending at this time. Cognosante and KDHE will conduct an onsite exit interview on Wednesday, 7/8/15 in Topeka, Kansas, where final handoff of materials from the SharePoint site will occur.

KDHE-DHCF staff have completed the evaluations of all vendors, and has now entered, and has now entered into best and final offer (BAFO) negotiations with the selected vendor for all phases of the MMIS Replacement project. It is estimated at this time, that a contract will be agreed upon, drafted and executed by the end of July.


### Recast

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>7/14/15*</b>		
<b>Execution Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$642,430</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$330,674</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$318,080</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$246,471</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$324,350</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$84,203</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>4/1/15</b>	<b>Estimated Execution End:</b>	<b>7/31/15</b>

Close-Out			
Estimated Project Cost:	\$26,048		
Internal Cost:	\$9,904		
External Cost:	16,144		
Estimated Start:	6/15	Estimated End:	7/15

Active-Recast-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project


**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Health and Environment, Kansas Department of (Continued)****KDHE/DHCF State Self Insurance Fund (SSIF) Claims Data Management System**

CITO High-Level Approval: 3/4/14 Project Manager: Carrie Doyal  
 CITO Revised High-Level Plan Approval: 10/27/14  
 CITO Detailed Level Approval: 4/20/15  
 Project Cost: \$673,757 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$341,990

Execution Project Cost:	\$673,757	Execution Cost to Date:	\$394,394
Internal Cost:	\$20,868	Internal Cost to Date:	\$3,594
External Cost:	\$652,889	External Cost to Date:	\$390,800
Execution Start:	2/17/15	Execution End:	9/2/15

<u>Funding Source for Project Cost</u>	<u>Vendor</u>
State Self Insurance Fund	100% Systema

The State of Kansas Self-Insurance Fund (SSIF) is a self-insured, self-administered section that manages workers compensation claims and benefits for eligible employees, injured in the course of and arising out of their employment with the State of Kansas. In 1974, the Fund was established under K.S.A. 44-575, *et seq.* Per statute “the state workers compensation self-insurance fund shall be liable to pay: (1) All compensation for claims arising on and after July 1, 1974, and other amounts required to be paid by any state agency as a self-insured employer under the workers compensation act and any amendments thereto;” (44-575). The SSIF is organized and supervised within the State Employee Health Benefits Section, Division of Health Care Finance, Department of Health and Environment (KDHE).

The SSIF uses a claims management information system to report, document, administer and manage an average of 3,000 claims annually. In 2002, SSIF purchased the current risk/claims management computer system which 25 users currently use the system; maintenance is provided by CSC with network support through KDHE.

The present system, while still functional, has become sluggish and cumbersome for the operators. The data tables, particularly payment and transaction data have grown considerably. Notwithstanding functionality, there is growing concern over system limitations, stability and reliability. The SSIF currently has an agreement for service; however, it is tenuous because the support provided has limited expertise with the RiskMaster product.

The purpose of this project is to acquire a replacement workers compensation claims management and support system that will allow the SSIF to perform its critical mission more effectively and efficiently, make sound compensability decisions, prepare timely and accurate payments to parties (claimants, vendors), account for expenses, analyze claims data, provide claims history data to agencies and actuaries, model program changes, forecast utilization patterns and comply with state Division of Workers Compensation policy and directives.

The SSIF has initiated a Request for Proposal to acquire a system that would allow it to perform the types of reporting, payments and analysis needed. The Procurement Negotiating Committee (PNC) has not yet met to negotiate or to award. SSIF projects the selected proposal will not exceed a \$501,820 threshold (including service support) over a three year span or more than \$40,000 during any fiscal year other than the procurement year.

Active-Recast-Alert

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Meeting targeted goals.

Project Stopped/Canceled.

Project completed and waiting for PIER.

Infrastructure Project

Project completed and PIER approved

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

Project on hold.

Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

Reporting insufficient.

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**KDHE/DHCF State Self Insurance Fund (SSIF) Claims Data Management System (Continued)**

**For the Reporting Period:** A detailed plan received CITO approval on 4/20/15.

The SSIF project team is working with Systema going through each data field to ensure that fields are being mapped correctly in the test environment. The SSIF team is cleaning up data and also comparing the two systems side by side to make sure that all data that is needed has been converted over. The next step is making sure that the data is converted over into the correct fields. This is vital to the project's success.

Active-New

**Planning - COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	1/14	Estimated End:	4/15


**Execution**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>4/20/15</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$673,757</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$394,394</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$20,868</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$3,594</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$652,889</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$390,800</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>2/17/15</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>9/2/15</b>

**Close-Out**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	9/15	Estimated End:	10/15

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project

**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Health and Environment, Kansas Department of (KDHE)



## WIC SQL Server Project - Infrastructure

CITO High-Level Approval: 1/27/15 Project Manager: Sandy Fry  
 CITO Detailed Level Approval: 3/15/15  
 Project Cost: \$300,917 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$0

+

I

Execution Project Cost: \$291,125 Execution Cost to Date: \$153,496  
 Internal Cost: \$0 Internal Cost to Date: \$8,150  
 External Cost: \$291,125 External Cost to Date: \$145,346  
 Execution Start: 4/1/15 Execution End: 11/30/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

USDA Nutrition Services and Admin. Grant 100%

Vendor

TBD

Active

The Woman, Infant and Child Supplemental Nutrition Program (WIC) application is used by the Kansas WIC Program, the Inter Tribal Counsel of Arizona and the New Hampshire WIC Program, which is collectively referred to as the Multi-State Consortium (MSC), presently utilizes the lightweight, compact version of Sybase which does not provide enterprise-level administration tools, monitoring, or architecture capabilities. This project will move the MSC system to Microsoft SQL Server, which is an enterprise level database management system.

SQL Server provides advanced capability that will translate into more effective and efficient use of Database Administrator (DBA) resources, more robust database resource monitoring, better query performance, higher productivity in meeting maintenance and development requirements, better positioning for scalable growth, more efficient backup and disaster recovery functionality, better job scheduling capabilities, and an overall ability to manage multiple databases on a single Virtual Machine (VM) server platform.

**For the Reporting Period:** The project is on schedule and on budget. All the development, test and training regions have been built and the majority of the data conversions have been completed. Quality assurance regression testing is on track to begin 7/1/15.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost: \$8,150  
 Internal Cost: \$8,150  
 External Cost: \$0  
 Estimated Start: 11/14 Estimated End: 3/15

## Execution

CITO Approval: 6/5/14  
 Execution Cost: \$291,125 Execution Cost to Date: \$153,496  
 Internal Cost: \$0 Internal Cost to Date: \$8,150  
 External Cost: \$291,125 External Cost to Date: \$145,346  
 Execution Start: 4/1/15 Execution End: 11/30/15

## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost: \$1,642  
 Internal Cost: \$1,642  
 External Cost: \$0  
 Estimated Start: 12/15 Estimated End: 12/15

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.

C

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Project Stopped/Canceled.

A

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Project on hold.

I

Infrastructure Project



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

P

Project completed and PIER approved



Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Information Technology Services, Office of (OITS)****Executive Branch Electronic Mail Consolidation**

CITO High-Level Approval:	4/16/13	Project Manager: J.R. Growney
CITO Revised High-Level Approval:	7/22/15*	
CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	7/23/15*	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$9,747,325	
Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost:	\$300,000	

Execution Project Cost:	\$9,532,986	Execution Cost to Date:	\$0
Internal Cost:	\$849,000	Internal Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$8,683,986	External Cost to Date:	\$0
Execution Start:	7/21/15	Execution End:	6/7/17

Funding Source for Project Cost

Clearing Fund (OITS) 100%

Vendor

AOS

Senate Bill 572 authorized the Chief Information Technology Architect (CITA) of the State of Kansas to evaluate the feasibility of information technology consolidation opportunities. From 6/1/10 to 10/1/10 the CITA facilitated meetings with state agency IT leaders regarding consolidation topics, researched other state governments' IT consolidation initiatives. The data obtained was analyzed and used to formulate a list of consolidation strategies and recommendations. Electronic mail was included in the list of recommendations:

The expected benefits from a consolidated state-wide email shared services are:

- Reduce the State's email support costs with a single managed environment that is less expensive to maintain and support;
- Improve service levels for end users through high availability and disaster recovery capabilities;
- Consolidate specialized services into a smaller footprint requiring lower investment;
- Provide a single statewide address book;
- Provide consistent archival and message retrieval support, and
- Enable enhanced inter-agency and intra-agency collaboration

An Executive Branch committee recommended that Kansas should pursue a cloud-based electronic mail and collaboration system for all executive branch agencies. Kansas will be the 10th state to move to a cloud-based electronic mail system.

**For the Reporting Period:** OITS and AOS, in conjunction with the Executive Branch Agencies revisited the overall Technical Architecture for the solution, providing the project with an updated design validated across the organizations. Initial Agency discovery efforts for planning were conducted to clarify their requirements and better understand their active directory and messaging environments. Documentation was gathered for supporting the CITO Project Approval process, yielding both the approved revised High Level and Detailed project schedule.

Active-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## OITS Executive Branch Electronic Mail Consolidation (Continued)

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$214,339		
External Cost:	\$214,339		
Estimated Start:	12/12	Estimated End:	8/15


**Execution**

<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$9,532,986</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$849,000</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$8,683,986</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>7/21/15</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>6/7/17</b>


## Close-Out


Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost	\$0		
Estimated Start:	6/17	Estimated End:	8/17

Active-New


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Information Technology Services, Office of (OITS) (Continued)****OITS Information Technology Financial Management (ITFM) System II**

CITO High-Level Approval: 6/20/13 Project Manager: Jennifer Busch  
 CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 1/2/14  
 CITO Recast II Plan Approval: 7/16/15\*



Estimated Project Cost: \$1,002,826 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost: \$0

Execution Project Cost:	\$468,536	Execution Cost to Date:	\$0
Internal Cost:	\$0	Internal Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$468,536	External Cost to Date:	\$0
Execution Start:	8/10/15	Execution End:	8/28/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

Clearing Fund (OITS)

100%

Vendor

VMware

The Kansas Office of Information Technology Services (OITS) is purchasing licensing for a cost modeling tool from VMware known as Information Technology Financial Management Suite (ITFM). The project includes professional services to discover and document design for the solution and to implement the framework for the tool. The tool will help OITS increase the transparency and accuracy of our bills to our customers. It will also streamline many currently manual processes. The result will be quicker turn-around for OITS bills. It also gives us a sophisticated tool to do financial analysis, and financial modeling. The professional services engagement will provide strategy workshops, solution design, and detailed configuration of current and future-state cost models. ITFM will interface with the current systems and processes OITS uses to produce bills including KOMAND, SMART, KIRMS and the soon to be implemented Service Desk system.

Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

ITFM I	\$600,000
ITFM II	\$468,536

Actual Expenditures (not cumulative)

\$534,290
See above Execution Cost to Date

Project Gains

ITFM I – OITS acquired the licensing for the VMware IT Financial Management suite, a sophisticated cost modeling and analysis tool. The infrastructure to support the product was implemented and the software installed. Initial cost modeling data was developed and business requirements documented, setting the stage for the completion of implementation to occur in a subsequent project.

**For the Reporting Period:** *The recast detailed-level plan was approved on 7/16/15\*.*

Active-Recast-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



## OITS Information Technology Financial Management (ITFM) System II (Continued)

### Recast

#### Execution

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>7/6/15*</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$468,536</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$468,536</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>8/10/15</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>8/28/15</b>

#### Close-Out

<b>Estimated Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$0</b>		
<b>Estimated Start:</b>	<b>8/15</b>	<b>Estimated End:</b>	<b>9/15</b>

Active-Recast-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Information Technology Services, Office of (OITS) (Continued)****OITS Internet Upgrade FY 2015 - Infrastructure**

<b>A</b> <b>+</b> <b>I</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	12/22/14	Project Manager: Jay Coverdale	
	Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,361,834	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)	
	Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost:	\$0		
	Execution Project Cost:	\$2,356,014	Execution Cost to Date:	\$932,802
	Internal Cost:	\$35,520	Internal Cost to Date:	\$14,524
	External Cost:	\$2,230,494	External Cost to Date:	\$918,278
	Execution Start:	11/10/14	Execution End:	7/15/15
			Adjusted Execution End:	9/15/15
	<u>Funding Source for Project Cost</u>		<u>Vendor</u>	
	OITS Fund	53%	AT&T, Cox Communications & AOS	
	OITS Depreciation Fund	47%		

The State of Kansas, Office of Information Technology Services, currently provides Internet services to all customers residing on the Kansas Wide Area Information Network (KanWIN). Current usage of the Internet service has exceeded available capacity resulting in degraded service to Agency Staff and applications. To address this issue the Internet Service Provider (ISP) circuits must be upgraded. Also, network equipment linking the ISP circuits to the KanWIN network must be replaced with new equipment that can support the increased capacity. Following the upgrade, new network management tools will be acquired to improve the management and reporting of internet consumption. A vacant FTE position will be filled to provide support, maintenance, and capacity planning for the new tools along with the other network management tools that have been unsupported internally due to the vacancy.

The infrastructure project will include contract services with established contract vendors to upgrade the internet circuits and acquire the necessary hardware and software. All State Agencies connected to the KanWIN network will benefit from this project by improved response time when researching information on the internet and also an increase in productivity (not quantified) resulting from improved Web application response time. This project will provide twice the bandwidth of the existing service, improved reliability from new network equipment, and improved security and management from new tools and feature/functionality. Savings will also be achieved during this project resulting from monthly reoccurring charge reductions from both ISP providers of the internet circuits. These reductions are archived by cost reductions occurring in the marketplace for Internet services.

**For the Reporting Period:** Both vendor-provided circuits have been upgraded and new equipment was installed on 1/25/15. A Request for Information (RFI) was issued in March to determine available software solutions and capabilities to monitor and report utilization for Internet traffic. Product evaluations are expected to complete in July 2015 with a purchase in August. Project is slightly behind schedule due to competing projects.

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

**Project Status:** Project is in alert due to a schedule overrun of 25%.

	Meeting targeted goals.	<b>C</b>	Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).
	Project Stopped/Canceled.	<b>A</b>	Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).
	Project completed and waiting for PIER.		Project on hold.
<b>I</b>	Infrastructure Project		Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).
<b>P</b>	Project completed and PIER approved		Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**+** Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## OITS Internet Upgrade (Continued)

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$900		
Internal Cost:	\$900		
Estimated Start:	10/14	Estimated End:	11/14


**Execution**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>12/22/14</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$2,356,014</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$932,802</b>
Internal Cost:	\$35,520	Internal Cost to Date:	\$14,524
External Cost:	\$2,320,494	External Cost to Date:	\$918,278
Execution Start:	11/10/14	Execution End:	7/15/15
		Adjusted Execution End:	9/15/15


## Close-Out


Estimated Project Cost:	\$4,920		
Internal Cost:	\$4,920		
Estimated Start:	6/15	Estimated End:	8/15

Active-Alert


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Information Technology Services, Office of (OITS) (Continued)****State Defense Building Fiber Project – Infrastructure**

CITO High-Level Approval: 6/17/15 Project Manager: Jay Coverdale/Jennifer Busch

CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 6/26/15

Estimated Project Cost: \$1,299,879 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)

+ Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost: \$0

**I** Execution Project Cost: \$1,299,879 Execution Cost to Date: \$0  
 Internal Cost: \$0 Internal Cost to Date: \$0  
 External Cost: \$1,299,879 External Cost to Date: \$0  
 Execution Start: 7/1/15 Execution End: 2/22/16

Funding Source for Project Cost

Rates (OITS) 100%

The State Defense Building Fiber Project will run two redundant paths of fiber optic cable to the State Defense Building on Topeka Boulevard, which is to be a new data center and State employee location. The infrastructure will include services from established contract vendors to perform the task of boring and trenching to lay conduit for pulling fiber underground to the State Defense Building, and various agency locations along the two paths. The project will yield greater bandwidth and improved reliability to OITS and its customers.

Additionally, this project will upgrade equipment on the State's Cisco ONS (Optical Networking System) multiservice Transport Platform optical network. AOS professional services will be utilized to perform the equipment upgrades using equipment already owned by the State.

**For the Reporting Period:** The Detailed Level Plan received CITO Approval on 6/26/15.

**Planning - COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost: \$0

Estimated Start: 3/15 Estimated End: 6/15

**Execution****CITO Approval:** 6/26/15**Execution Cost:** \$1,299,879 **Execution Cost to Date:** \$0**Internal Cost:** \$0 **Internal Cost to Date:** \$0**External Cost:** \$1,299,879 **External Cost to Date:** \$0**Execution Start:** 7/1/15 **Execution End:** 2/22/16**Close-Out**

Estimated Project Cost: \$4,920

Internal Cost: \$4,920

Estimated Start: 6/15 Estimated End: 8/15

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Meeting targeted goals.

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

Project Stopped/Canceled.

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

Project completed and waiting for PIER.

Project on hold.

**I** Infrastructure Project

Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).


**P** Project completed and PIER approved

Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Investigation, Kansas Bureau of (KBI)****Kansas DUI Tracking System (Record and Police Impaired Drivers – RAPID) III**

<b>C</b> 	CITO High-Level Approval:	4/10/12	Project Manager: Laura Bohnenkemper
	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	4/26/12	
	CITO Recast II Plan Approval:	10/16/12	
	CITO Recast III Plan Approval:	7/11/13	
<b>+</b>	Project Cost:	\$2,252,043	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$454,500	

Execution Project Cost:	\$2,238,440	Execution Cost to Date:	\$2,043,887
Internal Cost:	\$210,560	Internal Cost to Date:	\$233,135
External Cost:	\$2,027,880	External Cost to Date:	\$1,810,752
Execution Start:	4/19/13	Execution End:	3/20/15
		Adjusted Execution End:	4/7/15
		Adjusted Execution End:	4/30/15
		Adjusted Execution End:	5/19/15
		Adjusted Execution End:	8/14/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

State Highway Fund	98%
Record Check Fee Fund	2%

Vendor

Analysts International Corporation

The project will implement a system to improve the ability of the state to accurately charge and prosecute Driving Under the Influence (DUI) offenders. The system will leverage existing repositories and resources already provided by the Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS) data center to help ensure that DUI offenders are appropriately charged and sentenced. The system will provide: 1. Electronic submission of DUI filings and dispositions from courts to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI) central repository; 2. Courts and prosecutors one-stop access to search across disparate data systems, such as the KBI criminal history and incident/arrest repositories, the Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR) driver and vehicle data, and the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) crash repository, thereby providing a complete picture of an offender's DUI history; 3. Notification to courts and prosecutors when new information regarding an offender becomes available; 4. Tools for managing data errors and data reporting deficiencies; and 5. Augmentation of the KBI central repository to include additional information needed to support DUI prosecution and sentencing.








**RAPID II** – The project was delayed in order to complete a competitive Task Proposal Request (TPR) at the direction of the Division of Purchases. The TPR closed on 7/27/12 and vendor selection was made effective on 8/17/12. **RAPID III** -- During the course of developing and reviewing the detailed design document during Q 1 2013, it became apparent that there were significant scope issues with the project. Stakeholder meetings and negotiations with the vendor clarified those issues. This change necessitated a modification of the deliverable list. Because of these modifications, the existing project plan required a new baseline and a recast.

Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

KS DUI Tracking System I	\$2,643,329
KS DUI Tracking System II	\$2,662,919
KS DUI Tracking System III	\$2,900,105

Actual Expenditures (not cumulative)

\$0
\$686,048
See above Execution Cost to Date

[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals. Project Stopped/Canceled. Project completed and waiting for PIER. Infrastructure Project Project completed and PIER approved Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent). Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent). Project on hold. Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent). Reporting insufficient. Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Kansas DUI Tracking System (Record and Police Impaired Drivers – RAPID) III (Continued)

Project Gains

KS DUI Tracking System I – Project was delayed.

KS DUI Tracking System II -- During repeated design sessions, scope issues were developed which culminated in a scope clarification. However, work scheduled for later in the project (legislative requirements) was brought forward, and the critical path of the project was not strongly impacted.

**For the Reporting Period:** In order to give the courts and prosecutors more time to resolve issues with their interfaces for submitting eDispositions and to give sufficient time to complete and thoroughly review the end of project documents we have delayed the project one quarter. Sub Project 2.4: CCH & Courts Integration is still moving forward. We are getting closer to certifying our project group of courts and prosecutors. Sub Project 2.5: Message Switch Notification Integration is keeping momentum with client testing to begin next quarter. Testing of TRS (Crash) completed with flying colors. The vendor is making the last updates to the end of project documents and has completed the knowledge transfer. The project is scheduled to complete in Q3 of 2015.

**Project Status:** Project is in Caution status with a schedule overrun of 18% and a deliverable completion rate of 87%.

**Recast****Subproject I – Core Component - COMPLETED**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>7/11/13</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$711,605</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$778,897</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$37,200</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$34,378</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$674,405</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$744,519</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>4/19/13</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>12/4/13</b>
		<b>Adjusted End:</b>	<b>12/9/13</b>

**Subproject II – Extending CCH/Court Integration – COMPLETED**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>7/11/13</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$613,407</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$588,064</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$64,200</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$36,295</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$549,207</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$551,769</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>7/26/13</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>7/3/14</b>
<b>Adjusted Start:</b>	<b>8/1/13</b>	<b>Adjusted End:</b>	<b>8/12/14</b>
		<b>Adjusted End:</b>	<b>10/8/14</b>

Recast-Caution-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Kansas DUI Tracking System (Record and Police Impaired Drivers – RAPID) III (Continued)

Recast-Caution-New

### Subproject III – CRASH/KIBRS Integration

**CITO Approval:** 7/11/13  
**Execution Cost:** \$458,465  
     **Internal Cost:** \$59,170  
     **External Cost:** \$399,295  
**Execution Start:** 2/5/14  
**Adjusted Start:** 2/3/14

**Execution Cost to Date:** \$496,183  
     **Internal Cost to Date:** \$96,958  
     **External Cost to Date:** \$399,225  
**Execution End:** 1/26/15  
**Adjusted End:** 7/9/14  
**Adjusted End:** 4/2/15  
**Adjusted End:** 8/14/15

### Subproject IV – Message Switch Integration

**CITO Approval:** 7/11/13  
**Execution Cost:** \$317,924  
     **Internal Cost:** \$45,190  
     **External Cost:** \$272,734  
**Execution Start:** 7/3/14  
**Adjusted Start:** 6/25/14

**Execution Cost to Date:** \$148,180  
     **Internal Cost to Date:** \$32,941  
     **External Cost to Date:** \$115,239  
**Execution End:** 2/17/15  
**Adjusted End:** 4/7/15  
**Adjusted End:** 4/30/15  
**Adjusted End:** 5/7/15  
**Adjusted End:** 7/27/15

### Subproject V – Knowledge Transfer and Go-Live

**CITO Approval:** 7/11/13  
**Execution Cost:** \$137,039  
     **Internal Cost:** \$4,800  
     **External Cost:** \$132,239  
**Execution Start:** 1/27/15  
**Adjusted Start:** 2/11/15  
  
**Adjusted Start:** 3/26/15  
**Adjusted Start:** 4/21/15

**Execution Cost to Date:** \$32,563  
     **Internal Cost to Date:** \$32,563  
     **External Cost to Date:** \$0  
**Execution End:** 3/20/15  
**Adjusted End:** 3/23/15  
**Adjusted End:** 4/20/15  
**Adjusted End:** 5/19/15  
**Adjusted End:** 7/21/15

### Close-Out

**Estimated Project Cost:** \$13,603  
     **Internal Cost:** \$8,000  
     **External Cost:** \$5,603  
**Estimated Start:** 3/15  
**Adjusted Start:** 8/15

**Estimated End:** 4/15  
**Adjusted End:** 9/15

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



## Juvenile Justice Authority (JJA)



## Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) Rewrite II



CITO High-Level Approval:	2/21/08	Project Manager: Marilyn Chambers
CITO Revised High-Level Approval:	12/17/09	
CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	12/22/09	
CITO Recast II Plan Approval:	2/28/12	
Project Cost:	\$622,460	(Planning, execution and closeout)
Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$246,584	

Execution Project Cost:	\$609,566	Execution Cost to Date:	\$800,519
Internal Cost:	\$297,439	Internal Cost to Date:	\$219,947
External Cost:	\$312,127	External Cost to Date:	\$580,572
Execution Start:	2/13/12	Execution End:	12/7/12
		Adjusted Execution End:	1/16/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	4/1/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	6/30/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	7/1/13
		On Hold Until:	6/30/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

State General Fund	45%
Juvenile Accountability Block Grant	55%

Vendor

3MV, Inc.

The Kansas Juvenile Justice Authority (JJA) uses four (4) main applications to track and document youth in our system. These applications are the Juvenile Justice Intake and Assessment Management System (JJIS), the Juvenile Correctional Facility System (JCFS), the Community Agency Supervision Information Management System (CASIMS) and the Purchase of Services Management database (POSuM). Each of these applications is reaching the end of life or twilight stage necessitating a single replacement application to incorporate all the functionality of current applications. The project will require input from state, county and local entities and is being done in coordination with Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS). The completed re-write of the JJIS application will incorporate the four (4) above mentioned end of life applications. The current applications will continue to be maintained and updated until a time at which the new application has been thoroughly tested and completed. **Recast:** During Subproject II, the agency faced numerous issues that impacted the project. These included 1) the loss of seven (7) core project staff and difficulty in refilling these positions, 2) initial project scope did not meet the core business need, 3) and staff on the project had not met planned hours due to work required on other projects. These conditions resulted in delaying the production release date for the project. The agency could not make up the variance causing the project to be recast in order to complete the project.

Active-Recast-Hold

[Return  
to  
Index](#)
Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

JJIS Rewrite I	\$2,134,340
JJIS Rewrite II	\$2,422,898

Actual Expenditures (not cumulative)

\$1,800,438
See above Execution Cost to Date



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) Rewrite II (Continued)****Project Gains**

JJIC Rewrite I – Narrowed scope of project and redefined project goals and outcomes.

JJIS Rewrite II – established process to transfer from legacy system to new system. System tested and passed. Developed user interface and started user testing on ease of use. We began using Business Analysts more effectively by having them define current processes and designing the process in the new system.

**For the reporting period:** At this time, KDOC has begun the process of creating a plan to complete the project with the utilization of temporary staff acquired through AIC in order to bring this project to resolution. The project will be placed on hold until a final project plan and staffing has been identified.

**Project Status:** A recast project plan will be required for this project at the time it is removed from hold status.

**Recast: Remaining Development through Production Rollout**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>2/28/12</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$609,566</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$800,519</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$297,439</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$219,947</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$312,127</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$580,572</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>2/13/12</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>12/7/12</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>1/16/13</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>4/1/13</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>6/30/13</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>7/1/13</b>
		<b>On Hold Until:</b>	<b>6/30/15</b>

**Close-Out**

<b>Estimated Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$12,894</b>		
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$7,894</b>		
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>		
<b>Estimated Start:</b>	<b>12/12</b>	<b>Estimated End:</b>	<b>1/13</b>

Active-Recast-Hold

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS)****Kansas eCitation II**

CITO High-Level Plan Approval:

10/28/10

Project Manager: Gordon Lansford

CITO Detailed Plan Approval:

3/3/11

CITO Recast II Plan Approval:

1/26/15

Project Cost:

\$480,140

(Planning, execution and close-out)

Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:

\$30,000

Execution Project Cost:

\$468,440

Execution Cost to Date:

\$11,249

Internal Cost:

\$96,381

Internal Cost to Date:

\$8,661

External Cost:

\$372,059

External Cost to Date:

\$2,588

Execution Start:

2/6/15

Execution End:

1/3/17

Funding Source for Project Cost

State Traffic Record Fund

85%

Vendor

Analysts International Corporation

National Highway Transportation Safety

Administration Section 408 Grant

15%

The Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS) commissioned this Strategic Plan for the development and implementation of a statewide electronic traffic citation (eCitation) system, with a central traffic citation information repository (central repository) accessible by state, local, and federal agencies, and the public. This eCitation system is an integral part of the statewide Traffic Records Coordinating Committee (TRCC) governed Traffic Records System (TRS) program initiated in 2005 and will integrate with KCJIS. The TRS will be a virtual data warehouse that will provide state and local agencies with the ability to efficiently access traffic data to increase the safety of the motoring public. It will bring together information that is currently housed in separate, isolated repositories at the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT), Kansas Highway Patrol (KHP), Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR), Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI), Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), Kansas Board of Emergency Medical Services (KBEMS) and other agencies. As a vital component of the TRS system, the goal is to implement a statewide eCitation system through which traffic citation data can be collected, analyzed, and distributed accurately, quickly, and cost effectively for the benefit of the public and state, local, and federal agencies. The approach to the eCitation system is consistent with and extends the common vision developed for the TRS. It also reflects the desires, efforts and outcomes of interested state agencies in migrating toward a more accurate, efficient, and cost effective capture and exchange of traffic data through modern technological electronic processes. Through the creation of a statewide eCitation system, KCJIS will transform the capture, storage, exchange and use of traffic citation data from the current mixed system of mostly manual data entry and some electronic storage and exchange to a fully electronic system. \*\*Project received Subproject II Detailed Plan approval on 12/8/11. The adjusted costs removed Master Entity Index (MEI) costs from the project. This work is being performed in a separate project. **Recast:** Recast plan will complete the System Integration subproject of the original plan.

Planned Overall Cost (cumulative)

Kansas eCitation I

\$1,931,522

Kansas eCitation II

\$480,140

Actual Expenditures (not cumulative)

\$1,156,164

See above Execution Cost to Date

Active-Recast

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Meeting targeted goals.

C

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Project Stopped/Canceled.

A

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Project on hold.

I

Infrastructure Project



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

P

Project completed and PIER approved



Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## Kansas eCitation II (Continued)

Project Gains

Kansas eCitation I – Detailed design and core technology deployment completed. Production implementation and functional enhancements completed.

**For the reporting period:** The Kansas e-Citation II project has made progress throughout the quarter. This included the completion of the Agency Outreach Plan and Agency Technical Integration Instructions. One deliverable scheduled for the quarter; the Agency Data Validation Plan is not complete. The project team encountered problems coordinating with law enforcement agency technical contacts. This coordination is needed in order to complete the Agency Data Validation Plan. We anticipate this deliverable will be completed during the next reporting period; quarter ending 9/30/15.

**Project Status:** Project has improved the task completion rate from 70% to 95%. The project is now in good standing.

**Recast**

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>1/26/15</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$468,440</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$11,249</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$96,381</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$8,661</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$372,059</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$2,588</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>2/6/15</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>1/3/17</b>

**Close-Out**

<b>Estimated Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$11,700</b>		
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>		
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$9,000</b>		
<b>Estimated Start:</b>	<b>1/17</b>	<b>Estimated End:</b>	<b>3/17</b>

Active-Recast

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL)****KDOL Unemployment Insurance Contact Center Integrated Voice Response (IVR) & Platform****Upgrade – Infrastructure**

CITO High-Level Approval:	4/22/14	Project Manager: Sridhar Madipoti
CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	6/8/15	
Project Cost:	\$2,925,612	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$420,000	

+

I

Execution Project Cost:	\$2,900,612	Execution Cost to Date:	\$0
Internal Cost:	\$244,028	Internal Cost to Date:	\$0
External Cost:	\$2,656,584	External Cost to Date:	\$0
Execution Start:	6/17/2014	Execution End:	8/25/2015

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

USDOL UI Automation Grant	85%
UI Operational Grant	15%

Vendor

TBD

The current telephony infrastructure that supports the KDOL Contact Center and the Integrated Voice Response (IVR) systems for the Unemployment Insurance program poses considerable risk to KDOL's ability to provide consistent service and claims processing for customers. The current systems are outdated and present an eminent threat of catastrophic failure. This risk represents a serious obstacle for KDOL as it strives to meet its mission of providing responsive services to the workforce of Kansas. This project will make the KDOL Contact Center more reliable and greatly reduce or eliminate the risk of technology failure. In addition, KDOL anticipates that the new IVR system would reduce ongoing maintenance costs.

KDOL has developed a plan to solidify the telephony infrastructure to stabilize operations and to continue to provide consistent unemployment insurance services to the citizens of Kansas. KDOL seeks to upgrade the telephony infrastructure of the Contact Center with the objective of improving efficiency and reliability of Contact Center operations. By eliminating several single points of failure and adding several high availability components, this project is an important step to help the agency to be better able to carry out essential operations in the event of a disaster.

This upgrade will make the KDOL Call Center more reliable. KDOL cannot continue to operate each day with the risk that the Unemployment Insurance Call Center technology will fail. By upgrading the infrastructure that supports the Call Center, KDOL will ensure more reliable service for internal and external customers.

**For the Reporting Period:** The project was approved by the CITO on 6/8/15.

Active-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.


**KDOL Unemployment Insurance Contact Center Integrated Voice Response (IVR) & Platform Upgrade  
(Continued)****Planning - COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$25,000		
Internal Cost:	\$25,000		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	3/14	Estimated End:	6/14


<b>Execution Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$2,900,612</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$244,028</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$2,656,584</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>6/17/14</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>8/25/15</b>

Close-Out			
Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	8/15	Estimated End:	8/15

Active-New


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)****KDOL Worker's Compensation Digitization Planning Project**

<b>A</b>	CITO High-Level Approval:	5/27/14	Project Manager:	Sheryl Linton
	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	12/11/14		
<b>+</b>	Project Cost:	\$583,620	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)	
	Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$0		
	Execution Project Cost:	\$535,821	Execution Cost to Date:	\$248,567
	Internal Cost:	\$79,800	Internal Cost to Date:	\$13,080
	External Cost:	\$456,021	External Cost to Date:	\$235,487
	Execution Start:	1/6/15	Execution End:	9/30/16
	<u>Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost</u>		<u>Vendor</u>	
	Kansas Worker's Compensation Fee Fund	100%	WorkComp Strategies LLC	

The current Worker's Compensation system is antiquated and consequently results in many inefficient manual, paper-driven processes. KDWC intends to create a paperless system that would improve customer service, reduce administrative costs, and increase operation efficiency. The future system will utilize a web-based user interface. This interface would improve access to the system and case management documents by creating a workflow management system of tasks and documents.


The primary objective of the development project is to create a paperless system. The goals of this paperless system would be to improve customer service, reduce administrative costs, and increase operational efficiency. This paperless system would utilize three tools: electronic transactions, web access, and digital storage.

**For the Reporting Period:** The DigiComp Team started the quarter three weeks behind schedule. A New Team Member was added by WorkComp Strategies – Michael Scott – for judicial data model, no impact to cost or schedule; does not reduce other team members. Requirements/Alternatives Cost-Benefit analysis due in May/June/July, this should have been June/July/August, because RFI process needed completion before these items could be completed. The threat of Furloughs created an additional two week delay in the project schedule with meetings canceled and Core Team members focused on possible office shut down.


**Project Status:** Project is in Alert status due to a task completion rate of 76%.

Active-Alert-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project

**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



## KDOL Worker's Compensation Digitization Planning Project (Continued)

Planning - <b>COMPLETED</b>			
Estimated Project Cost:	\$35,529		
Internal Cost:	\$7,980		
External Cost:	\$27,549		
Estimated Start:	4/14	Estimated End:	1/15
<b>Execution Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$535,821</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$248,567</b>
Internal Cost:	\$79,800	Internal Cost to Date:	\$13,080
External Cost:	\$456,021	External Cost to Date:	\$235,487
Execution Start:	1/6/15	Execution End:	9/30/16
Close-Out			
Estimated Project Cost:	\$12,270		
Internal Cost:	\$3,990		
External Cost:	\$8,280		
Estimated Start:	9/16	Estimated End:	9/16

Active-Alert-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

⚠ Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

⏸ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Lottery, Kansas Department of



### Sales Force Automation & Electronic Device Deployment

CITO Detailed Plan Approval:

Project Cost:

Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:

Project Manager: Patti Biggs

(Planning, execution and close-out)

Execution Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Execution Start:

Execution Cost to Date:

Internal Cost to Date:

External Cost to Date:

Execution End:

Funding Source for Project CostVendor

The Kansas Lottery sales force automation (SFA) project will benefit the State of Kansas by increasing sales of Lottery products, thereby increasing transfers to the State General Fund. There are three domains of high-level benefits, and many other business benefits, associated with this development and deployment.

1. SFA will make it more efficient for the sales teams to better engage retailers to increase sales.
2. SFA will increase our ability to get the right product to the right retailers at the right time with its predictive ordering algorithm.
3. SFA also has a Retailer Portal, available 24/7, in forms and formats that will increase our ability to attract larger corporate accounts by giving them billing and related data in the manner needed at the corporate office.

**For the reporting period:** Quarterly report for the April-June 2015 has not been received.

**Project Status:** The KITO office is aware that this project is in execution. The Kansas Department of Lottery has submitted a plan for review but has not yet received CITO approval. According to the information submitted, the project began execution in February 2015. With the project in execution status without an approved plan, this project has been placed in the status of "Insufficient Reporting."

Planning

Estimated Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Estimated Start:

Estimated End:

**Execution Project Cost:****Internal Cost:****External Cost:****Execution Start:****Execution Cost to Date:****Internal Cost to Date:****External Cost to Date:****Execution End:**

Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Estimated Start:

Estimated End:



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

# Active-Insufficient Reporting

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.


## Revenue, Kansas Department of (KDOR)

## DMV Modernization Project

 CITO High-Level Plan Approval: 6/21/07 Project Manager: Toni Roberts

CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 8/13/09

Project Cost: \$40,326,159 (Planning, execution and close-out)

 Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost: \$1,999,832

Execution Project Cost: \$37,454,058

Internal Cost: \$6,841,722

External Cost: \$30,612,336

Execution Start: 8/17/09

Execution Cost to Date: \$33,131,108

Internal Cost to Date: \$5,328,041

External Cost to Date: \$27,803,067

Execution End: 6/29/12

Adjusted Execution End: 12/31/13

Adjusted Execution End: 7/1/13

Adjusted Execution End: 3/31/14

Adjusted Execution End: 6/30/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

Division of Vehicles Modernization Fund 98%

Vehicle Operating Fund 1%


INK Grant 1%

Vendor


3M Corporation

The Division of Vehicles Modernization Project includes integration of three (3) separate systems into one (1) Vehicle system. Our current systems are separate, old mainframe emulation systems that are responsible for vehicle titling, registration, driver's licensing and inventory management for the entire state. These Vehicle Systems are the Kansas Department of Revenue's most critical public safety systems and must be available for law enforcement 24 hours a day, seven (7) days a week, and 365 days a year. The three (3) systems scheduled for replacement are the Vehicle Information Processing System (VIPS), the Kansas Driver's License System (KDLS) and the Kansas Vehicle Inventory System (KVIS). VIPS main functions are to process vehicle registration, title, and license plate and permit transactions as well as the collection of fees for all 2.7 million registered vehicles. VIPS is responsible for maintaining title and registration records for use by law enforcement and other motor vehicle agencies. The Division of Vehicles partners with all 105 County Treasurers to provide vehicle services to the citizens of Kansas. All County Treasurer offices use the VIPS to process any vehicle transaction. VIPS was implemented 12/87. Problems exist with the upload and download batch processes to the counties. The system lacks real time capabilities, which leads to delays of up to several days in receiving current registration information. Because of these delays, law enforcement agencies may be operating without correct information. The KDLS contains driving record information on all licensed drivers and allows for issuance of an initial driver's license or Kansas identification card according to Federal and State guidelines. The KDLS is a mainframe and FileNet application that provides a workflow process to maintain and update the driving record. Driving privileges such as restrictions, suspensions, revocations and reinstatements are processed within the KDLS. The KDLS serves all law enforcement officials, courts and other authorized entities. The KVIS is a mainframe application that automates the ordering and tracking of raw materials, plates, decals, 30-day permits, and placards for the State of Kansas. The KVIS provides for the tracking of inventory from purchase order to issuance of tags and decals. Orders for tags and decals are placed on the KVIS. Center Industries Corp. in Wichita, Kansas produces work orders from the KVIS information, and submits invoices to the state after

Active-Stopped


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## DMV Modernization Project (Continued)

shipment of tags and decals to the counties. Counties receive tags and decals through an automated program and the KVIS is updated nightly with county receipts and issues, to maintain accurate inventory on-hand counts. The KVIS has functionality for notifying users automatically, when a county is low on inventory. Reports generated by the KVIS ensure purchases are within the annual budget, whether purchases are complete or pending, and whether payments have been completed.

**For the reporting period:** KDOR is de-scoping this project. The MOVRS System was implemented in May of 2012 and remains in production today. KDOR concluded the contract with 3M in May of 2014 and has elected to replace the mainframe Drivers' License System under the KanDrive project.

**Project Status:** KDOR has elected to discontinue this project and de-scope the work scheduled in Subproject II and address it in the project plan for the new KanDrive project.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,115,418		
Internal Cost:	\$201,619		
External Cost:	\$913,799		
Estimated Start:	8/06	Estimated End:	8/09
		Adjusted Estimated End:	9/09

## Subproject 1 – Titles &amp; Registration, Plates/Decals, Inventory

CITO Approval:	8/13/09		
Execution Cost:	\$23,766,690	Execution Cost to Date:	\$18,073,930
Internal Cost:	\$2,926,861	Internal Cost to Date:	\$1,642,587
External Cost:	\$20,839,829	External Cost to Date:	\$16,431,343
Execution Start:	8/17/09	Execution End:	4/4/12
Adjusted Execution Start:	7/6/09	Adjusted Execution End:	1/7/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	6/21/13


## Subproject II – Drivers License &amp; Identification, Driver Control and Review

CITO Approval:	11/19/09		
Execution Cost:	\$13,687,368	Execution Cost to Date:	\$15,057,178
Internal Cost:	\$3,914,861	Internal Cost to Date:	\$3,685,454
External Cost:	\$9,772,507	External Cost to Date:	\$11,371,724
Execution Start:	12/1/09	Execution End:	6/29/12
Adjusted Execution Start:	11/20/09	Adjusted Execution End:	12/31/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	7/1/13
		Adjusted Execution End:	3/31/14
		Adjusted Execution End:	6/30/15

## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,756,683		
Internal Cost:	\$8,551		
External Cost:	\$1,748,132		
Estimated Start:	7/12	Estimated End:	7/12
Adjusted Estimated Start:	1/13	Adjusted Estimated End:	9/13

Active-Stopped

[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.

C

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

A

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.

▽

Project on hold.

 Infrastructure Project

⊕

Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Project completed and PIER approved

⊖

Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## Revenue, Kansas Department of (KDOR) (Continued)

**KanDrive**

CITO Detailed Plan Approval:

Project Cost:

Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:

Execution Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Execution Start:

Project Manager: Mohammed Shoshaa  
(Planning, execution and close-out)

Execution Cost to Date:

Internal Cost to Date:

External Cost to Date:

Execution End:

Funding Source for Project CostVendor

This project replaces Subproject II of the DMV Modernization Project. The work associated with that subproject was de-scoped from the DMV Modernization Project and will be addressed in this project.

**For the reporting period:** Quarterly report for the April-June 2015 has not been received.

**Project Status:** The KITO office is aware that this project is in execution but has not yet submitted a complete project plan for CITO approval. With the project in execution status without an approved plan, this project has been placed in the status of “Insufficient Reporting.”

Planning

Estimated Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Estimated Start:

Estimated End:

**Execution Project Cost:****Internal Cost:****External Cost:****Execution Start:****Execution Cost to Date:****Internal Cost to Date:****External Cost to Date:****Execution End:**

Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:

Internal Cost:

External Cost:

Estimated Start:

Estimated End:

Active-Insufficient Reporting

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT)****Document Management System Replacement**

<b>C</b>	CITO High-Level Approval:	2/26/13	Project Manager: Steve Locke
	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	4/29/14	
<b>+</b>	Project Cost:	\$1,300,385	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$558,000	
	Execution Project Cost	\$1,173,620	Execution Cost-To-Date: \$941,903
	Internal Cost:	\$39,168	Internal Cost-To-Date: \$45,718
	External Cost:	\$1,134,452	External Cost: \$896,548
	Execution Start:	5/23/14	Execution End: 7/6/15
			Adjusted Execution End: 6/15/15
			Adjusted Execution End: 9/14/15
	<u>Funding Source for Project Cost</u>		<u>Vendor</u>
	State Highway Fund (SHF)	100%	Imagesoft, Inc.


The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) implemented the current document management system (DMS) in 1992. It was a Commercial Off-the-Shelf System (COTS) product from Filenet. At that time, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued as part of a bigger project called Records and Workflow Management (RWM). This project encompassed document management, imaging, electronic forms, workflow and electronic signatures. Since 1992, IBM acquired the Filenet Content Services product and has been supporting it. IBM has announced the End of Service (EOS) date of 9/30/14 for the product. This places KDOT in a position of having to replace its Document Management System. This situation has been anticipated and noted in the agency's 3 Year IT Management & Budget Plan. Over the years since, KDOT has placed nearly three and a half million documents in the system and has benefited significantly from the reduction in the cost of storing paper and microfilm. Paper consumes considerable physical space and microfilm suffers from deterioration and the risk of obsolescence of technology to view it.

As these documents have been loaded over the years, the paper and the microfilm have been destroyed and discarded. In addition to these benefits, the document management system has brought about greater efficiencies in staff time to organize, search for and retrieve these documents.


KDOT has a tremendous dependency for day to day administrative, management and engineering operations on these electronically stored documents. There is also a portion of the RWM that KDOT uses to place documents for access by the public and by business partners.

The objectives of the effort involve the steps necessary to acquire a replacement Enterprise Document Management System to be accessed daily by approximately 70 users and available to nearly 1800 internal KDOT users across the state and an unknown amount of public users.

Active-Caution-New


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## Document Management System Replacement (Continued)

**For the Reporting Period:** The system was implemented on June 14, 2015 with current functionality. In doing so, the team converted over 4 million documents from FileNet to OnBase. This completed phases V (Project Docs), VI (Accidents (MVS)), VII (KGATE Integration), and VIII (Implementation, Training and Conversion) of the project. Statewide agency staff received training on the new system.

Phase IX is starting, and as we identify tasks and revising schedules, it is apparent that we will exceed the original schedule to a degree that will require a recast of this project.

**Project Status:** Project has corrected its Alert status and is now in Caution for a schedule overrun of 17%.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$124,098		
Internal Cost:	\$8,550		
External Cost:	\$115,548		
Estimated Start:	12/12	Estimated End:	5/14


## Execution

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>4/29/14</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$1,173,620</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$941,903</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$39,168</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$45,718</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$1,134,452</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$896,185</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>5/23/14</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>7/6/15</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>6/15/15</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>9/14/15</b>


## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,667		
Internal Cost:	\$2,667		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	7/15	Estimated End:	9/15

Active-Caution-New


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



## REGENTS

## Kansas State University

## KSU Converged Infrastructure

A	CITO High-Level Approval:	3/18/14	Project Manager: Robert Vaile/Ashley Wondra	
	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	6/17/14		
+	Project Cost:	\$5,140,135	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)	
	Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$78,750		
I	Execution Project Cost	\$5,089,510	Execution Cost-To-Date:	\$5,064,968
	Internal Cost:	\$84,375	Internal Cost-To-Date:	\$64,968
	External Cost:	\$5,005,135	External Cost-To-Date:	\$5,000,000
	Execution Start:	6/23/14	Execution End:	7/1/15
			Adjusted Execution End:	12/31/15
<u>Funding Source for Project Cost</u>			<u>Vendor</u>	
State General Fund		100%	TBA	

The objective of the project is to replace the central campus production computer and storage systems and build a disaster recovery site off campus. These components are essential to university operations and have reached or exceeded their end of service lifecycles. Consolidating these systems will result in decreased operational costs, improved systems reliability, and a reduction in administration overhead. The decreased operational costs directly impact the K-State Data Center by using less power and the reliability of K-State systems will be improved by gaining redundant hardware in multiple locations. Additionally, there will be a reduction in administrative overhead due to the automation of work that is currently being done manually. Lastly, the equipment is at end-of-life and is starting to fail. This results in increased maintenance costs to care for the failing equipment and increased staff time to troubleshoot those issues instead of working on new initiatives.

**For the Reporting Period:** We are continuing to make progress although it is slow. The technical team is finishing up the infrastructure in preparation for the emigration of our financial systems data into the test environment. The migration team is working out the kinks of the financial data in the development environment in preparation for the move to test. Due to the amount of testing involved, the go-live has been moved to October. The team feels confident that we will meet this date. It is the teams' belief that the remaining migration will move significantly faster.

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## KSU Converged Infrastructure (Continued)

**Project Status:** Project is in Alert status due to a schedule overrun of 49%.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$45,000		
Internal Cost:	\$45,000		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	12/12	Estimated End:	5/14

## Subproject I

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>6/17/14</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$5,024,131</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$5,064,968</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$22,500</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$64,968</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$5,001,631</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>6/23/14</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>12/26/14</b>

## Subproject II

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>Not Yet Requested</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$65,379</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$61,875</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$3,504</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>8/13/14</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>7/1/15</b>
		<b>Adjusted Execution End:</b>	<b>12/31/15</b>

## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$5,625		
Internal Cost:	\$5,625		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	7/15	Estimated End:	7/15
Adjusted Estimated Start:	12/15	Adjusted Estimated End:	12/15

Active-Alert

☺ Meeting targeted goals.

● Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## JUDICIAL BRANCH

## Office of Judicial Administration



## Judicial Branch Electronic Filing Statewide Implementation Project

CITO Detailed Plan Approval: 3/18/15 Project Manager: Steve Berndsen  
 Project Cost: \$315,867 (Est. planning, execution and closeout)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost: \$152,049



Execution Project Cost	\$315,867	Execution Cost-To-Date:	\$77,101
Internal Cost:	\$32,422	Internal Cost-To-Date:	\$9,976
External Cost:	\$283,445	External Cost-To-Date:	\$67,125
Execution Start:	3/26/15	Execution End:	4/26/16

Funding Source for Project Cost

E-Filing Management

100%

Vendor

TBD

This project will complete the installation of electronic filing statewide in Kansas. Software licenses will be installed in the District Courts per the project schedule. Training of court staff and filers will occur as the licenses are installed across the state. Various stakeholders will participate in the project including the judges and court staff, attorneys, information technology professionals, and administrative staff. Documents will be submitted to the court in electronic format using the electronic filing system.

**For the Reporting Period:** The Project is a continuation of the Judicial Branch Electronic Filing Pilot Project and most processes have flowed seamlessly from the pilot project. This project began in the last two weeks of the reporting period and the primary activity for the quarter was training of the courts to be implemented in April. There are no new risks or impediments to completion outlined in the detailed project plan.

Planning - **COMPLETED**

Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	3/15	Estimated End:	3/15

## Execution

<b>CITO Approval:</b>	<b>3/18/15</b>		
<b>Execution Cost:</b>	<b>\$325,867</b>	<b>Execution Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$77,101</b>
<b>Internal Cost:</b>	<b>\$32,422</b>	<b>Internal Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$9,976</b>
<b>External Cost:</b>	<b>\$277,250</b>	<b>External Cost to Date:</b>	<b>\$67,125</b>
<b>Execution Start:</b>	<b>3/26/15</b>	<b>Execution End:</b>	<b>4/26/16</b>

## Close-Out

Estimated Project Cost:	\$0		
Internal Cost:	\$0		
External Cost:	\$0		
Estimated Start:	4/16	Estimated End:	5/16



Meeting targeted goals.



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Project on hold.



Infrastructure Project



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Project completed and PIER approved



Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

## COMPLETED PROJECTS SECTION

Projects in this section have completed the Execution Phase and the quarterly project status reporting requirement. In accordance with ITEC Policy 2530 Project Management, agencies must maintain procedures for conducting lessons learned on IT projects during the formal closing of a project close-out process and prepare a Post Implementation Evaluation Report (PIER). Projects remain in the Completed Projects section until the CITO receives and accepts the PIER.

## TERMS

CITO Council -	A management group consisting of the three (3) Chief Information Technology Officers (CITO) representing the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of Kansas state government.
Execution Start -	This is the start date on the current CITO approved detailed plan that “triggers” the beginning of the execution phase. The trigger date is an event (i.e. hardware/software purchase or installation, code development, etc.) identified by the agency. Execution start is the benchmark for JCIT reporting requirements.
Execution End -	This is the end date on the current CITO approved detailed plan. The execution end date is the benchmark for JCIT reporting requirements.
Project Cost -	Planning, execution and close out dollars of a project.
Adjusted -	Agency modified schedule and or cost by less than 10%.
PIER -	Post Implementation Evaluation Report. The PIER documents the history of a project and provides recommendations for other projects of similar size and scope.
PIER Final Project Cost:	Final Project Costs as reported in the PIER.



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## PROJECTS WITH PIERS RECEIVED

## EXECUTIVE BRANCH

## Administration, Department of

## Oracle BI Analytics Implementation – Data Warehouse Upgrade II

P	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	9/9/13		
	CITO Recast Plan Approval:	10/31/13		
	Project Cost:	\$2,063,061	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)	
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$1,753,408</b>		
	Execution Start:	10/21/13	Execution End:	2/25/14
			<b>PIER Approved:</b>	<b>6/9/14</b>

Oracle BI Analytics, with Oracle Data Integrator and GoldenGate was implemented for the State of Kansas. It aligns SOK with Oracle's strategic direction for addressing business intelligence needs. It is built to improve data load times and accommodate all delete scenarios in the source system. It provides significant delivered content through reports/dashboards (including Public Sector-specific content). It offers SOK the opportunity to be included in the Oracle Early Adopter Program guaranteeing access to Oracle's top developers to improve time-to-resolution for issues encountered during the project and access to Oracle resources to assist in product roll-out to end users.


Completed

## Healing Arts, Kansas State Board of (KSBOHA)

## Licensing/Enforcement Database Application

P	CITO Detailed Level Approval:	6/18/13	Project Manager: Todd Standeford	
	Project Cost:	\$343,359	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)	
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$339,835</b>		
	Execution Start:	5/27/13	Execution End:	1/28/14
			Adjusted Execution End:	4/1/14
			Adjusted Execution End:	6/2/14
			Adjusted Execution End:	2/6/15
			<b>PIER Approved:</b>	<b>3/16/15</b>

The Kansas State Board of Healing Arts replaced their Licensing /Enforcement Database system. The new system provides functionality that was handled by several diverse systems and combined those services into one package that is designed to facilitate the exchange of data. Those services include, but are not limited to, maintaining licensee records of application, renewals and discipline, document storage and links to the appropriate license records, and legal proceedings along with their supporting documentation. Online services include renewals and license verifications. The new system also has the ability to take initial applications online, accept and maintain records for corporate information, record inspections of office based surgery locations and the monitoring of disciplinary requirements.


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## PROJECTS WITH PIERS RECEIVED

## Highway Patrol, Kansas

**Digital Video Refresh Project – Infrastructure**

<b>P</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	6/5/14	Project Manager:	Capt. Scott Harrington
	Project Cost:	\$2,230,756	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)	
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$2,230,799</b>		
	Execution Start:	5/27/13	Execution End:	1/28/14
			<b>PIER Approved:</b>	<b>5/11/15</b>

The project objectives were to update the KHP's outdated in-car digital video systems statewide while minimizing costs. New systems were required to store video to DVD media rather than server storage. Systems were also required to allow for sharing of video data without need for proprietary software

## Public Employees Retirement System, Kansas (KPERS)

**2012 Sub HB 2333 – Tier 3 Cash Balance System**

<b>P</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	12/3/13	Project Manager:	Jeanette Branam
	Project Cost:	\$803,800	(Planning, execution and close-out)	
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$803,800</b>		
	Execution Start:	1/6/14	Execution End:	12/12/14
			<b>PIER Approved:</b>	<b>3/16/15</b>

KPERS relies on its pension administration system, KITS, to administer benefits while securing confidential information. KPERS has continued to implement KITS incrementally since 2005. This state-of-the-art system has maximum flexibility, automates business functions, maintains reliable information, and provides instant and convenient access to information by KPERS staff, employers and members. The 2012 Legislature passed Sub House Bill 2333, creating a Tier 3 Cash Balance Retirement Plan for new hires beginning January 2015. This project will make the necessary modifications to KPERS' pension administration system to fully integrate the new retirement plan into KITS and maintain the benefits achieved by the KITS project.

Completed

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## PROJECTS WITH PIERS RECEIVED

## Revenue, Kansas Department of (KDOR)

**Kansas Commercial Registration, Alcoholic Beverage Control, Fuel Tax System (K-CRAFTS)**

<b>P</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	5/9/13	
	Project Cost:	\$3,346,040	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$3,558,776</b>	
	Execution Start:	5/9/13	Execution End: 12/4/14
			<b>PIER Approved:</b> 1/12/15

H.B. 2557, signed into law in April 2012, made provisions to replace the outdated motor carrier property tax which has been in place since 1956. A feasibility study for Alcoholic Beverage Control Modernization was written, reviewed and approved. An IFTA rewrite feasibility study was written, reviewed and approved. The third project, for rewrite of IRP, also met the standards of a KITO level project and another feasibility study was completed. During these feasibility study reviews, KDOR Directors worked together and determined that there are vendors with integrated products that could meet the needs of all three programs; IRP, IFTA and Alcoholic Beverage Control. It was determined that an integrated project would save the state dollars, resource time, and create much easier reporting and audit capabilities. On 10/1/12 the decision was made to integrate the three separate projects into one.

Completed

## REGENTS


## Pittsburgh State University (PSU)

**PSU Integrated Library System Project (ILS)**


<b>P</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	12/17/13	Project Manager: Barbara Herbert
	Project Cost:	\$512,072	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$469,313</b>	
	Execution Start:	1/2/14	Execution End: 1/8/15
			<b>PIER Approved:</b> 5/11/15

The Integrated library system (ILS) at Pittsburg State University is used to track library resources and provide access to those resources for library patrons. The ILS is based on a relational database and has an interface for staff and patrons. The Goals of the Pittsburgh State University Integrated Library System Project (ILS) were:

1. To facilitate and encourage the provision of highly available, consistent, high quality, and high value services to library patrons across the area covered by the libraries of the Pittsburgh State University Library Consortium;
2. To provide a technology framework upon which new library services can be built and offered;
3. To produce long term, overall, sustainable cost of operation advantages for libraries in the PSU Library Consortium and;
4. To the greatest possible extent, support open technical standards that facilitate integration of library services and data exchange between library services and external products, i.e., course management system, database vendors, non ILS servers, and other campus services such as GUS (Gorilla User System).


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology



## PROJECTS WITH PIERS RECEIVED

## JUDICIAL BRANCH

## Office of Judicial Administration

**Judicial Branch OJA Filings and Dispositions Data Submission Interface Project**

<b>P</b>	CITO Detailed Plan Approval:	11/15/13	Project Manager: R.J. Smith
	Project Cost:	\$595,000	(Planning, execution and close-out)
	<b>PIER Final Project Cost:</b>	<b>\$549,316</b>	
	Execution Start:	12/5/13	Execution End: 9/26/14
			<b>PIER Approved: 3/16/15</b>

The Kansas OJA's goals and objectives were to develop and maintain a dynamically available and secure web service client designed to leverage some of the existing hardware and software components available at Kansas OJA.

Completed

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## PROJECTS WITH PIERS OUTSTANDING

## LEGISLATIVE

## Legislative

**2013 PC Lease Project**

CITO Detailed Plan Approval:

6/25/13

Project Cost:

\$469,740 (Planning, execution and close-out)

**PIER Final Project Cost:**

Execution Start:

6/27/13

Execution End:

10/30/13

**PIER Approved:**

The legislature leases personal computers for staff and legislators on a staggered schedule. The current lease for the personal computers used by the legislative staff expires on 10/31/2013. The staff sections included in this lease were: Legislative Post Audit, Kansas Legislative Research Department, Revisor's Office, Legislative Administrative Services, Legislative Office of Information Services, Chamber Staff, Leadership Staff, Session Office Assistants and Committee Assistants. The primary objective of this project is to replace the pc's that are going off-lease with new pc's that will meet the computing requirements of legislative staff while considering the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO). TCO includes the overall cost of acquiring, maintaining, and supporting the target PC infrastructure and user community over the useful life of the PC, which in this case is a three year lease.

Completed

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## APPROVED PROJECTS SECTION

Approved Projects have received high-level CITO project plan approval as outlined in ITEC Policy 2400 r 1 - Project Approval. Projects are still in the planning or vendor selection phase. Projects are not yet benchmarked for JCIT reporting. Percentage variances outlined in JCIT policy do not apply.

The estimated project cost and timeframes remain as *estimates* until the agency submits a detailed project plan, has it approved by the appropriate CITO and begins the Execution Phase.

## TERMS

CITO Council	A management group consisting of the three (3) Chief Information Technology Officers (CITO) representing the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of Kansas state government.
Estimated Execution Start	This is the estimated start date on the current CITO approved high level plan that “triggers” the beginning of the execution phase. The trigger date is an event (i.e. hardware/software purchase and or installation, code development, etc). This date remains an estimate until the execution phase begins.
Estimated Execution End -	This is the estimated end date on the current CITO approved high level plan.
Estimated Project Cost -	Estimated planning, execution and close out dollars of a project.
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost -	Three future years of operational/maintenance/ongoing costs after the project is completed.
Funding Source for Project Cost -	This item calls for identification of financing by percentage of funding source.



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## EXECUTIVE BRANCH

## Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF)

## Child Support Services System (CSSS) Modernization Planning Project

CITO High-Level Plan Approval:	9/26/2013	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$972,480	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$0	
Estimated Execution Start:	3/26/14	Estimated Execution End: 7/28/15


Funding Source for Project Cost

SGF	34%
Federal Match	66%


The CSSS Modernization Planning Project will generate the feasibility study required by DCF management to determine the most cost effective means to meet the needs of CSS program objectives. Should DCF management elect to pursue a new system, based on the results of this study, this project will also generate the documentation required for State and Federal approval of the CSSS Modernization Project to implement a new system. In this regard, the CSS Modernization Planning project, by itself, will have no immediate or independent payback and could result in not choosing to pursue as a larger, much more costly, Modernization project.

**Project Status:** The Planning Advance Planning Document (PAPD) for the CSSS Modernization planning efforts has been updated and resubmitted to the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) for Federal approval. Currently DCF is waiting for OCSE approval. The planning vendor Request for Proposal (RFP) will be submitted to CITO for review following approval of the PAPD by OCSE.

Approved


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF) (Continued)****HB2015 Project**

CITO High-Level Plan Approval:	6/19/14	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,467,454	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$16,578	
Estimated Execution Start:	7/3/14	Estimated Execution End: 7/1/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

Social Welfare Fund	34%
Federal Match	66%

This project is to modify the DCF KAECSSES-CSE (Department for Children and Families, Kansas Automated Eligibility Child Support Enforcement System -Child Support Enforcement) to include non IV-D Child Support collections which are currently being handled by the firm of Young Williams through their management of the Kansas (Child Support) Payment Center.

This work effort is required by Kansas House Bill 2015. This will allow for all Child Support cases (Title IV-D of the Social Security Act and Non-Title IV-D) to be created and stored in one central location.

The child support collections will be distributed pro-rata over all child support debtor's orders.


This work is also required by Federal law mandating the creation of a Federal Case Registry containing all Child Support cases (IV-D and non IV-D) that are issued or modified as reported to the State Case Registry.

KAECSSES-CSE will be modified to include non IV-D Child Support information in the database, provide for interfaces with the Kansas Payment Center and district courts as required, modify user interfaces and provide additional reporting functionality to support the non IV-D activities.


**For the Reporting Period:** Tasks associated with this project remain on hold. DCF Executive Management continues to examine its long term IT strategy and determine the correct prioritization of its short term IT initiatives to achieve its primary objectives with the current funds available.

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Health and Environment, Kansas Department of (KDHE)****KDHE/DHCF MMIS Modernization and Fiscal Agent Operations Takeover Services****Reprocurement Project**

CITO High-Level Approval:	9/11/14	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$96,593,543	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$0	
Estimated Execution Start:	8/28/15	Estimated Execution End: 8/30/19
CITO Project Determination:	10/24/11	

**Funding Source for Project Cost**


Federal Financial Participation (Medicaid)	89%
State General Fund	11%

The proposed project will allow KDHE-DHCF to develop, enhance and implement an MMIS which is a critical cornerstone of KDHE's overall vision of accessible quality health care services for Kansans at an affordable cost to the State. The modernized MMIS will support KDHE's strategic plans for the increased use of health information technologies and emerging health care initiatives that will improve health care quality, effectiveness, and efficiencies in Kansas. KDHE wants to construct the modernized MMIS in such a way that it is modular and reusable. The Kansas Eligibility and Enforcement System (KEES) and the modernized MMIS will form the basis for the entire enterprise. The estimated project costs include estimated costs for consulting services supporting Internal Verification and Validation (IV&V) and a Project Management Office (PMO).

**For the Reporting Period:** We continue the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. Bids were received and are being evaluated. Demonstrations were provided by the bidders the last week of March. Negotiations are ongoing and we plan to make a contract award at the end of August, pending Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services approval.

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project

**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Information Technology Services, Office of (OITS)****Executive Branch Technology Modernization (EBTM) Project**

Formerly (OITS Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure)

CITO High-Level Approval: 9/23/13

Revised CITO High-Level Approval: 7/14/15\*

Estimated Project Cost: \$24,435,156 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)

Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost: \$8,299,696

Estimated Execution Start: 8/17/15 Estimated Execution End: 9/13/16

Funding Source for Project Cost

Rates (OITS) 99%

Overhead (OITS) 1%

The Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure project will acquire the hardware to host a shared service private cloud that will be owned, operated, and maintained by the Office of Information Technology Services. Agencies have been moving toward a more virtualized environment over the last decade. The next step in this transformation is for agencies to move to shared infrastructure. Over the course of the next 3-5 years, OITS will be transitioning all current agency run virtual hardware to this new shared infrastructure. OITS believes that the traditional model of agency maintained silos of infrastructure leads to added costs, support, and needless complexity in the State of Kansas' technical architecture. Currently, with a few exceptions, State agencies select and manage their own infrastructure solutions and end-user applications. This includes separate hardware, software, maintenance fees, and technical staff to provide support at each agency. To add to the complexity, many agencies use different products within each of the product types, resulting in a large variety of solutions. The maintenance of these independent infrastructure environments is considered inefficient and not conducive to the modernization of Kansas IT infrastructure, nor widely sustainable.

OITS is partnering with AOS to provide: 1) The Kansas Private Government Cloud infrastructure (Kansas GovCloud), which shall be a converged infrastructure. Over the next three to five years this infrastructure will host the complete compute, storage, and networks needs for OITS agencies plus any additional growth. 2) Services for the implementation, deployment, and migration of existing logical systems onto the Kansas GovCloud infrastructure and all additional services.

**For the Reporting Period:** *The Revised High Level Plan received CITO approval on 7/14/15.\**

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

⚠ Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

⏸ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



**Investigation, Kansas Bureau of****Security Architecture Modernization – Identity Access Management Project (SAM-IAM)**

CITO High-Level Approval:

1/12/15

Estimated Project Cost:

\$533,840

(Est. planning, execution, close-out)

Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost:

\$90,000

Estimated Execution Start;

4/8/15

Estimated Execution End:

4/14/16

Funding Source for Project Cost

SGF

16%

Traffic Records Coordinating Council

84%

The existing Kansas Criminal Justice Information Services (KCJIS) Security Architecture has been in place essentially unchanged since 1999. This architecture has been robust and strong enough to serve the needs of the KCJIS community and the nationwide law enforcement community (who have a need to access Kansas criminal justice information) over that time. While system upgrades and updates have occurred in the intervening years, the overall architecture has not changed.

With the assistance of a Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), the KBI and KCJIS began the process in SFY2013 of performing a Strategic Assessment of the KCJIS Security Architecture. This assessment was thorough and assisted in the development of a strategic plan, adopted by the KCJIS Committee and currently in the process of implementation in a phased approach. The assessment identified areas of opportunity and necessary adaptation for KCJIS.

Additionally, KCJIS is involved in several projects designed to improve or provide new information to its users when they are complete. These projects have necessitated an architecture change within KCJIS applications. While the current KCJIS Security Architecture may be sufficient to support the modified KCJIS application architecture, it is unknown to what extent an updated security architecture could provide additional flexibility and opportunity for the KCJIS user base.

The overall management of user and user group rights to applications is performed by an Identity and Access Management (IAM) solution. Previously KCJIS has been limited in its ability to provide services and information to a wide range of user types due to limitations of its IAM solution. Furthermore, the implementation of new applications could be greatly streamlined and simplified with a stronger and more standards-based IAM solution. Local agency ease of use is a primary driver for this change as well.

The assessment, procurement/development, and deployment of a new IAM solution is a critical piece of the overall strategic plan laid out in the previously completed Strategic Assessment of the KCJIS Security Architecture

**For the Reporting Period:** A vendor has been engaged to help with the discovery for selecting a product. When a product is selected, detailed planning will begin. It is anticipated that planning will begin in September and the Execution will begin approximately a month to a month and a half later.



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.

\*

Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

**Revenue, Kansas Department of (KDOR)****Commercial Driver Licenses (CDL) Knowledge and Skill Testing System Project**

CITO High-Level Approval:	4/20/15	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$429,094	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost:	\$0	
Estimated Execution Start;	7/20/15	Estimated Execution End: 4/25/17

Funding Source for Project Cost

2013 CDL Grant Fund	100%
---------------------	------

The Division of Vehicles (DOV) intends to implement a solution for driver skill testing to be utilized in approximately thirty-four locations across the State of Kansas. The State's current system is paper based and does not have the functionality to meet all of the Division's needs and leaves the State's testing methods vulnerable to fraud and lack of control. Paper tests also have limited functionality in data tracking and therefore data such as duration of tests, final scores, what employee administered and scored the test is not as reliable or accessible for analysis as would be using all electronic testing equipment.

With this need in mind, the DOV applied for and was awarded the "Commercial Driver's License Program Improvement Grant" for \$826,016.00 in July of 2013. This grant funded two projects - the development of the Kansas Automated Testing System (KATS) application for the knowledge testing and this project, the KDOR Commercial Driver License (CDL) Knowledge and Skill Testing System which includes the development of CDL Skills, an application for testing the CDL Skills portion of DMV Testing, as well as adding additional testing stations for the KATS application. Initially, the plan was to procure a vendor through the RFP process to develop the software solution. After further review, a decision was made to keep the development in-house. This will be accomplished by hiring a developer from Analysts International Corporation (AIC) during the life of this project. The implementation of automated CDL testing via CDL Skills will assist not only the instructor, but also the testee. Virtually eliminating paper tests also negates potential favoritism by automating the randomization of the tests, scoring the test and allows data to be available for question/skill analysis.

**For the Reporting Period:.** The project team has a solid work breakdown structure. Work continues to finalize the last remaining required documents prior to submission to the CITO for detail level approval before the end of the next reporting quarter.

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

▽ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Revenue, Kansas Department of (KDOR) (Continued)****Taxation Imaging**

CITO High-Level Approval:	2/25/15	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$691,507	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. Of Operational Cost:	\$146,085	
Estimated Execution Start;	11/9/15	Estimated Execution End: 1/4/17

Funding Source for Project Cost

SGF 100%



KDOR utilizes Captiva Formware 5.3.1 to identify and extract data, via Optical Character Recognition (OCR) and data entry, from over 200,000 pages of tax forms per month and exports the data to taxation system databases and a content management system. Captiva Formware 5.3.1 is end of life and reaches end of support December 31, 2015. A forms processing and extraction product is integral in the processing of tax forms received by KDOR. From November 1, 2013 to November 1, 2014, KDOR scanned over 5.6 million pages, or 450,000 tax forms. KDOR has designed over 500 tax form templates since implementing OCR software. KDOR is desirous of implementing existing best practices and leading technology for extracting data from forms, while maintaining the high level of service and ease of use expected by the KDOR Channel Management division end users, and ease of supportability desired by Information Services. Additionally, agency goals for KDOR set by the Channel Management division include the timely processing of paper tax returns and vouchers and have 99% of paper sales tax returns processed with ten days of receipt, both of which are facilitated and made possible by forms processing software including OCR.

In lieu of an upgraded OCR solution, KDOR would need to staff a complete data entry division with personnel and data entry client hardware and software. There are cost and time savings associated with continuing to utilize an OCR product. Additionally, the potential evolution of the product to extend to other business functions not currently taking advantage of this technology could increase productivity and efficiencies throughout KDOR.

**For the Reporting Period:** The project team issued a task order, reviewed proposals and awarded the bid during this quarter. The work breakdown structure along with the other required documents will be prepared for the detailed level submission and approval in coordination with the selected vendor during the upcoming quarter.

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
|  Meeting targeted goals.                 | <b>C</b> Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).   |
|  Project Stopped/Canceled.               | <b>A</b> Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).   |
|  Project completed and waiting for PIER. |  Project on hold.  |
| <b>I</b> Infrastructure Project   |  Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent). |
| <b>P</b> Project completed and PIER approved  |  Reporting insufficient.   |

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Secretary of State, Kansas****Elections and Voter Information System Renewal (ELVIS Renewal)**

CITO Approval: 7/6/15

Estimated Project Cost: \$693,220 (Est. planning, execution, close-out)

Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: \$1,950,000

Estimated Execution Start: 11/23/15 Estimated Execution End: 6/21/16


Funding Source for Project Cost

Help America Vote Act (HAVA) 100%


Federal mandate required the implementation of a centralized voter registration system over ten years ago through the Help America Vote Act (HAVA). Kansas achieved this and has been operating the Election and Voter Information System since the system was procured and deployed by Election System Systems and Software in 2005. It has been in operation ever since under a ten year contract. As this term has passed the contract must be re-competed. The system is used by all Kansas counties to conduct elections for all federal, state and local contests. This is fully aligned with the mission and purpose of the Office of Secretary of State. Beyond centralizing the registration of voters, it provides for setting up election districts, ballots, manages polling locations and polling workers and maintains voter history.


**For the reporting Period:** *The High Level Plan received CITO approval on 7/6/15.\**

Approved-New


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## REGENTS

## Fort Hays State University (FHSU)

## FHSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation

CITO High-Level Approval:	6/10/15	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$14,235,338	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$3,564,420	
Estimated Execution Start:	8/17/15	Estimated Execution End: 7/26/19

Funding Source for Project Cost


State General Fund	45%
China Partnership	55%

The Fort Hays State University Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation project seeks to increase operational efficiencies and support university growth through incorporation of modern information technology facilitating centralized data and streamlined processes. For this objective to be realized FHSU must replace aging legacy systems which are nearing end-of-life in terms of both support and the scalable functionality required to meet the fluctuating needs of twenty-first century higher education.


**For the Reporting Period:** The High Level Plan received CITO approval on 6/10/15.\*

Approved-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project


**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Pittsburgh State University (PSU)****PSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)**

CITO High-Level Approval:	9/3/13	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,361,500	(Est. planning, execution and closeout)
Est. 3 Future Yrs of Operational Cost:	\$855,000	
Estimated Execution Start:	6/1/14	Estimated Execution End: 7/1/15

Funding Source for Project Cost

State General Fund	20%
University Reserve Fund	80%


The Pittsburgh State University Enterprise Resource Planning (PSU ERP) project will replace the current enterprise system used for human resources, payroll, benefits, time and leave, budget, general ledger functions, accounts payable, travel, asset management, fixed assets, depreciation and reporting.

The core enterprise system at PSU is a UniVerse database written in UniVerse Basic language. The original system was built in 1984. There have been many successes over the years; however, with the advances in technology, we have a system that is outdated and fragile. After much consideration, the university leadership is in agreement that a stable, industry-standard solution that allows for advancement in the areas of emerging technologies and data integrity needs to be identified.


**For the Reporting Period:** The PSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Project is in process. We have selected the product that best fits our needs and have begun reviewing their contract. There is still a chance that the University's finances could have an effect on this project moving forward.

Approved

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## PLANNED PROJECTS SECTION

Planned projects are in the conceptual stage and have estimated costs and timeframes. The project estimates listed are rough estimates and are not yet benchmarked for JCIT reporting. Percentage variances outlined in JCIT policy do not apply.

When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available. Projects remain in the Planned Projects section until the agency decides whether or not to move forward with the project.

Approximately 95% of the projects in this section are identified in the agencies annual 3 - Year IT Management and Budget Plans, which a part of includes current and three years of long range planning for IT projects, in accordance with K.S.A 75-7210. The other 5% are disclosed through the Division of Purchases, INK, Specifications, Agency notification, etc.

## TERMS

CITO Council:	A management group consisting of the three (3) Chief Information Technology Officers (CITO) representing the Executive, Legislative and Judicial branches of Kansas state government.
Estimated Planning Start:	Estimated planning start date for an identified Planned Project.
Estimated Closeout End:	Estimated planning end date for an identified Planned Project.
Estimated Project Cost:	Estimated planning, execution and close out dollars of a project.
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	Three future years of operational/maintenance/ongoing costs after the project is completed.
CITO Project Determination:	The date the CITO issues a determination letter to the agency stating an IT effort is a CITO reportable project.
Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost:	This item calls for identification for forecasted financing by percentage of funding source.



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



## PLANNED PROJECTS EXECUTIVE BRANCH

### Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF)

#### DCF Cloud Computing (DCC)

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

#### Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) infrastructure project will lead to savings in a number of different ways. A study conducted with IBM estimated a savings of up to \$10.3 million in storage-related costs and up to an estimated savings of \$8.9 million in server-related costs over a five-year period. Annual server variable operating costs could be reduced by up to 43 percent. Substantial acquisition cost savings, reductions and facilities reductions are also possible over the lifetime of the project.


DCF is planning this project to coordinate its resources and activities in support of the Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure project.

**E-Government:** This project will have the same E-Government elements as the Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure project.


**Technical Architecture:** This project will have the same technical architecture elements as the Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure project.

**Project Description and Scope:** The scope for this project essentially mirrors the Kansas Private Government Cloud (Kansas GovCloud) Infrastructure project, but is limited to DCF tasks, activities and responsibilities.

**Project Status:** DCF is primarily undertaking this effort in support of the Kansas Private Cloud Infrastructure project. DCF does not foresee this initiative moving into execution for DCF until SFY 17 based on the latest information provided by the OITS State Cloud Initiative Project Manager. Based on this information, DCF believes OITS discovery and planning tasks should complete first in order to understand the full scope of the State Cloud offerings and to determine the full impact to DCF and its operations. DCF will continue to supply information to OITS as requested and perform research and analysis to determine agency strategic direction for the Cloud and define the overall scope of this effort. This is one of many agency initiatives in the discovery stage that will all have some degree of impact on one another.


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF) (Continued)****DCF Enterprise Content Management Assessment (DECMA)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** DCF is a large State agency with more than 2,500 employees distributed across the state, in more than 35 offices, administering State and Federal programs for Child Support, Economic and Employment, Protection and Prevention and Vocational Rehabilitation for the most vulnerable citizens of Kansas. This size and diversity of our programs provides for a wide range of content management requirements. Currently, DCF is predominately supported by paper processes. Due to the complexity of the agency and its content needs, it is critical that DCF have a comprehensive content management solution.

DCF is planning this project to analyze its current business and technical requirements for a DCF enterprise content management solution and identify a strategic roadmap for implementation of that solution. This assessment will examine the current technical solutions, business processes and requirements to transition from our current paper-driven process to a comprehensive electronic enterprise content management solution.


**E-Government:** The E-Government elements of this project will be determined as a comprehensive list of requirements are gathered, analyzed and finalized by DCF management.

**Technical Architecture:** The technical architecture for this project will be determined as part of the scope of the project.


**Project Description and Scope:** This scope for this project is to analyze DCF current business and technical requirements for a DCF enterprise content management solution and identify a strategic roadmap for implementation of that solution.

**Project Status:** DCF is currently performing research to determine its strategic Enterprise Content Management direction for the agency and define the overall project scope for this assessment. Currently, DCF is in the beginning stages of identifying resources, determining an estimated timeline, and developing a high-level project plan. This is one of many agency initiatives in the discovery stages at this time that will all have some degree of impact on one another.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF) (Continued)****DCF Mainframe Application Migration (DMAM)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** DCF operations primarily depend on legacy mainframe-based computer systems. DCF faces potentially significant increases to the cost of its mission-critical operations due to the rising costs associated with maintaining and supporting these mainframe computer systems and the State's strategic decision to move away from mainframe-based computing.

DCF is planning this project to retire the remainder of its mainframe legacy systems following the Kansas Eligibility and Enforcement (KEES) project implementation. DCF's goal is to migrate the entirety of its systems from the current mainframe environment to another more current and cost-effective platform. With this migration, DCF intends to change the underlying technology only, not the functionality of the system. Fundamental business rules/processes will not change. Once migration to a new platform is complete, DCF plans future subsequent modernization projects to align the systems with current and future business needs.


**E-Government:** The project is limited in scope to only replacing the underlying technology and will have only E-Government functionality already present in the current DCF applications.

**Technical Architecture:** The technical architecture for this project will be determined as a part of the scope of the project.


**Project Description and Scope:** The scope for this project is to migrate the DCF mainframe legacy systems to another more current and cost-effective platform. It will include all programs with legacy mainframe systems remaining after KEES goes live.

**Project Status:** DCF is researching options to aid in determining a strategic direction and define the overall project scope for mainframe application modernization. DCF has released a Mainframe Code Conversion Request for Information (RFI) and received multiple vendor options for moving applications off the Mainframe environment to server based platforms. The information is being evaluated and will be used to facilitate the agency's decision-making process.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Children and Families, Kansas Department for (DCF) (Continued)****DCF Office 365 Implementation (DOI)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** Senate Bill 272 authorized the Chief Information Technology Architect (CITA) of the State of Kansas to “evaluate the feasibility of information technology consolidation opportunities”. From June 6, 2010 to October 1, 2010, CITA facilitated meetings with State agency IT leaders regarding consolidation topics. It also researched other state governments’ IT consolidation initiatives and had discussions with IT experts with Forrester and Gartner. Careful analysis of the information gathered led to the formulation of a list of consolidated strategies and recommendations. Electronic mail was one of the leading recommendations resulting from this analysis: The State should consolidate into one email solution for all Executive Branch agencies. The project should occur regardless of any other IT consolidation strategy.

DCF is planning this project to coordinate its resources and activities in support of the Statewide Email Consolidation project.


**E-Government:** This project will have the same E-Government elements as the Statewide Email Consolidation project.

**Technical Architecture:** This project will have the same technical architecture elements as the Statewide Email Consolidation project.

**Project Description and Scope:** The scope for this project essentially mirrors the Statewide Email Consolidation project, but is limited to DCF tasks, activities and responsibilities.

**Project Status:** DCF is primarily undertaking this effort in support of the Kansas Office 365 (O365) Migration project. DCF is currently working with OITS and AOS to discover the impact of the O365 offering to the agency. DCF continues to process identifying resources, determining an estimated timeline, and developing a high-level project plan based on information received from OITS. Once the direction is set from OITS, DCF will submit a high-level project plan to KITO for review and CITO approval. This is one of the many agency initiatives in the discovery stage at this time that will all have some degree of impact on one another.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

Corporation Commission, Kansas (KCC)

Document Management System

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	3/4/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost  
To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** As always, the Kansas Corporation Commission seeks to improve efficiency and transparency to itself and to its stakeholders. We believe that increasing cross-agency communication through its electronic document management systems, the KCC will improve overall agency division operations and reduce risk issues where eDiscovery and information indexing and accessibility are concerned.

**E-Government:** This enterprise content management (ECM) system (document management system) will not make the use of the e-government function.


**Technical Architecture:** The project will adhere to the KCC’s approved systems architecture.


**Project Description and Scope:** This project will quantify, organize and provision the management and storage of all relevant electronic agency documents. Currently there is no such system in place to control, index, or manage document life-cycle processes. A well designed ECM system will greatly improve agency operations and offer preparedness in the event of an eDiscovery request. It is important to note here that the KCC already has a ‘docket management system’ known as eStar. It is a SQL Server database and a set of front-end management interfaces, and all docket-based filings and pleadings are managed by this electronic system. This new proposed ECM system relates to all other documents produced by the KCC as a result of its day-to-day operations.


**Project Status:** This project is tentatively planned. It is in a preliminary analysis stage. A business case will be developed.


Planned



Return  
to  
Index


-  Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.


 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved
-  Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology
- \* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Corrections, Kansas Department of (KDOC)****Kansas Juvenile and Adult Correction System (KJACS)****Previously Titled: “Total Offender Activity and Documentation System / Offender Management Information System (TOADS/OMIS)”**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$17,000,000-\$22,000,000*	(Est. plan, exec, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$3,000,000*	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination Date:	11/5/07	
CITO Project Determination Updated:	1/20/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

State General Fund - To Be Determined


Grant Funding - To Be Determined

**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**


**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The Department’s business objective in replacing TOADS/OMIS/Juvenile Applications is to support the agency’s offender reentry and risk reduction efforts in addition to providing enhanced end user productivity capabilities by reducing the effort required to capture, modify and analyze the information related to activities of offender case management. OMIS originated from a purchased package acquired approximately 35 years ago and TOADS was developed approximately 15 years ago. The three main juvenile systems are currently being combined into one. However, that new system will be lacking in several key areas including reentry and risk reduction. Having juvenile and adult information together in one system will allow for our users to see a person’s full history and allow for more informed decisions in the case management process. The new system will permit us to create and leverage a robust data model enabling us to enhance our analytical capabilities while adhering to new federal Extensible Markup Language (XML) standards for communications with other criminal justice agencies. It will also be more efficient to use by the agency as well as enable KDOC to realize added functionality. When implemented, the system will provide the lowest possible level of annual recurring costs while enhancing public safety.

**E-Government:** The vast majority of this information must be secured and will not be available for public access; however, the new system will provide information necessary to populate approved data elements for viewing through our public access web site Kansas Adult Supervised Population Electronic Registry (KASPER) which provides basic information relating to all past and present offenders. This new system will be completely mapped to the new Extensible Markup Language (XML) standard defined by the federal government which is designed to facilitate communications between all criminal justice agencies.

**Technical Architecture:** This project will leverage web and relational database technologies permitting us to move away from proprietary and inefficient document technologies. We will also be identifying technologies for use in this project which will permit both mobile and disconnected access to the system.


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).


 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

Corrections, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)

Kansas Juvenile and Adult Correction System (KJACS) (Continued)

Previously Titled: “Total Offender Activity and Documentation System / Offender Management Information System (TOADS/OMIS)

**Project Description and Scope:** The replacement system will be used throughout the agency to encompass all aspects of managing offenders from Community Corrections through Post Incarceration Supervision.

**Project Status:** This is a planned project once funding has been secured. Original Project Determination Letter was dated 11/5/07. Updated Project Determination Letter provided on 1/20/15

Planned


[Return to Index](#)

-  Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.

 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved
-  Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology
- \* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



**Investigation, Kansas Bureau of (KBI)****Kansas Incident Based Reporting Replacement**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$625,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$225,000*	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	9/24/07	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** An aged Kansas Incident Based Reporting System (KIBRS) system no longer supports the needs of local law enforcement or state and federal agencies requiring incident data. The existing system does not provide timely nor accurate data and is not sufficiently extensible to meet the needs of new collaborative efforts such as N-Dex. The system must be replaced.


**E-Government:** Through the use of the Internet and electronic communications the KIBRS system will collect comprehensive incident and arrest data that is essential for a comprehensive Central Criminal History Repository. The Criminal History Repository provides timely information to criminal history agencies across the nation, but only when it is coupled with timely incident and intelligence data can it realize its value as an investigative and crime analysis tool.

**Technical Architecture:** The project will move the state and the Criminal History Repository forward dramatically in the areas of Service Oriented Architecture and the adoption of robust Extensible Markup Language (XML) technologies. It will place Kansas at the leading edge of state Criminal History Repositories and crime analysis capabilities.

**Project Description and Scope:** All criminal justice agencies in the state of Kansas will have access to new, reliable incident information for crime reporting and analysis. All agencies with directly programmed connections to the existing KIBRS system will be directly affected.

**Project Status:** This project is an agency priority, but will necessarily remain on the agency backlog until funding is identified.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Investigation, Kansas Bureau of (KBI) (Continued)****Livescan Equipment Purchase**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$304,690*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$0*	
Estimated Planning Start:	10/15	
Estimated Close-Out End:	9/16	
CITO Project Determination:	5/6/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

Grant Funding

\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.


**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The goal of this project is to improve the nation's safety and security by enhancing the quality, completeness, and accessibility of criminal history record information and by ensuring the nationwide implementation of criminal justice and noncriminal justice background check systems. This project will enhance the infrastructure developed to connect criminal history records systems to the state record repository and ensure records are accessible through the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) records systems.

**E-Government:** Electronic fingerprint and palm print capture will enhance the accuracy and efficiency of information provided by local law enforcement agencies to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI). Purchasing ten (10) additional machines for the state of Kansas will allow more counties to instantly provide KBI arrest information into the central repository. It will enhance their ability to update and automate case outcomes from courts and prosecutors in the state criminal history records and FBI's Criminal History File.


**Technical Architecture:** The Livescan machines which will be purchased are end-point client machines that will connect to the state AFIS system and Computerized Criminal History repository within an already-established architecture.

**Project Description and Scope:** The grant proposal would allow the purchase of ten new Livescan machines for local agencies in the state of Kansas. In calendar year 2014, KBI received and processed 9,551 manual adult criminal fingerprint cards and 1,739 manual juvenile criminal fingerprint cards. Adding ten machines would ensure that every county in the state has the ability to electronically capture fingerprints and palm prints. Purchasing these machines would allow for electronic fingerprint capture and will ensure that criminal history data is collected quickly and more accurately. KBI would have the ability to receive and process approximately 12,000 criminal fingerprint submissions electronically with the purchase of these ten additional machines. The ten agencies will be identified once funding source is secure.

**Project Status:** The project is contingent upon federal grant funding. Award determinations have not been made at this time.


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

**Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL)****KDOL Incarceration Database and Victim Notification Service (ID&VNS)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested
Estimated Project Cost:	\$820,000* (Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$60,000*
Estimated Planning Start:	9/15
Estimated Close-Out End:	9/17
CITO Project Determination:	5/27/15

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

USDOL Grant and USDOL Operational Grant

**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**


**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s): Incarceration Database:** Under unemployment insurance regulations, unemployment insurance claimants cannot receive benefits while incarcerated. They must be able to work, available to work, and actively seeking work. Applying for unemployment benefits while in jail is an act of fraud. Catching such violations demands time-consuming and labor intensive cross-matching of records from dissimilar sources. The KDOL unemployment division does enjoy not have a fully automated process in identifying these attempts. An automated process to gather and report incarceration data in usable form to KDOL is needed.

**Victim Notification Services in Kansas:** Despite the growing use of automated victim notification systems, non-automated victim notification delivered by agency staff via phone, email, mail or in person is still in use. Kansas does not have a statewide victim notification system, which places the task of notification on victim's advocates, sympathetic law enforcement agents, and the limited resources of agencies that may have been involved in the case. The Attorney General's Office, Kansas Sheriff's Association and other Kansas law enforcement agencies wish to provide a statewide victim notification service to alert citizens who want to know when an offender is released from incarceration.


The project would provide multiple benefits to citizens of Kansas. It would:

- Increase the solvency of unemployment insurance funds by reducing fraud;
- Demonstrate KDOL's continued accountability to Kansas citizens;
- Provide victims greater safety and security knowing the status of offenders they are concerned about;
- Promote Kansas Sheriff and Correctional Facility efforts to better inform citizens; and
- Alleviate some of the burden on law enforcement and advocates from monitoring and following up with victims.

**E-Government:** The project will create an automated process to gather, report and maintain a central repository to incarceration data from all Kansas jails in usable electronic format to be used by state government agencies to help detect fraud in governmental benefit programs.


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).


 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

Planned-New

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)

KDOL Incarceration Database and Victim Notification Service (ID&VNS) (Continued)

**Technical Architecture:** Possible architecture: Vendor will host both databases (Incarceration and Victim Services). Each jail that submits data to the incarceration database owns its data and remains the database of record through a web application or web services to the incarceration database. In the event the data may be shared with other states, each jail that submits data shall have access to the data elements that are common to other state data. KDOL will create web services to interact with the incarceration database.

**Project Description and Scope:** To identify and reduce improper collection of benefits by claimants who are not eligible as a result of incarceration – can be served by a database with customized reporting options tailored to facilitate KDOL’s cross-matching process.

A Victim Notification system – capable of accessing at least the minimum data collected for KDOL’s purpose could leverage the incarceration database to provide a basic alert service to citizens on behalf of law enforcement and correctional agencies. Request for Proposal (RFP) Respondents will be asked to address an information system that serves both the needs of KDOL and the needs of a notification service.






- The Incarceration database will;
- Receive offender and incarceration data submitted by jail and correctional facilities throughout the state of Kansas;
  - Export reports containing sufficient information about offender admission and release data, which KDOL will compare against benefits’ claims from their existing system;
  - Trigger notifications to registered persons who wish to be alerted when an offender in the database is released to the general public; and
  - Provide law enforcement a search tool for offenders-of-interest who may be currently incarcerated elsewhere in the state.

The project is intended to include all 105 counties, over 90 Sheriff’s Offices, 200 police departments and 80 jails and prisons (hereinafter referred to individually as “a jail” or collectively as “jails”).

**Project Status:** Planning.

Planned-New

[Return to Index](#)

	Meeting targeted goals.	<b>C</b>	Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).
	Project Stopped/Canceled.	<b>A</b>	Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).
	Project completed and waiting for PIER.		Project on hold.
<b>I</b>	Infrastructure Project		Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).
<b>P</b>	Project completed and PIER approved		Reporting insufficient.
* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.		<b>+</b>	Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

**Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)****KDOL Workers Compensation Digitization Implementation Project**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$8,000,000-\$12,000,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	10/16	
Estimated Close-Out End:	12/18	
CITO Project Determination:	1/12/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The current Workers Compensation system is antiquated and consequently results in many inefficient manual, paper-driven processes. The purpose of this project is to transfer the current processes from paper-based to digital based. The future system will utilize a web-based user interface. This interface would improve access to the system and case management documents by creating a workflow management system of tasks and documents. The agency believes that a new digital system would have the following additional benefits: improved customer service though faster, more accurate response times; reduce administrative costs; and improved operational efficiency. These benefits would be achieved through electronic transitions, web access and digital storage.

**E-Government:** KDWC intends to utilize e-government to improve customer service through three methods: electronic transactions, web access, and digital document storage.


**Technical Architecture:** Kansas Department of Labor, Division of Workers Compensation (KDWC) understands and acknowledges that all technologies must be in compliance with the Kansas Statewide Architecture.

**Project Description and Scope:** The primary objective of this project is to create a paperless system. The goals of this paperless system would be to improve customer service, reduce administrative costs, and increase operational efficiency. This paperless system would utilize three tools: electronic transactions, web access, and digital storage.


Electronic Transaction should replace paper transactions wherever possible. Transactions of this type cover most, but not all, external reporting to the division (one-way transactions). Several division processes could benefit from replacing paper transactions digitally.

Currently up to 50% of all first reports of injury (FROI) and numerous subsequent report of injury (SROI) are submitted to the division through the US mail and electronic fax via a paper form. An electronic data interchange system (EDI) would reduce or eliminate 35,000 to 40,000 paper forms the division processes each

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)

KDOL Workers Compensation Digitization Implementation Project (Continued)

year. An EDI system would also strengthen the division’s statistical and analytical capabilities in researching injury incident and claim cost trends.

In addition, all penalty checks and assessment checks are paper and mailed to the division for processing and deposit. The division currently collects over \$13 million via paper checks annually. These checks must be manually processed and deposited with the Treasurer’s office. An electronic funds transfer system would simplify this process and eliminate the potential for error.

Another area needing an electronic system is in the area of research requests. All research requests come into the division on a paper form (Forms 97 & 98) and a signature of the requesting party is required. Fifty-one (51) requesting entities (e.g., law firms, employers) have signed up to receive their documentation in digital format through a custom built upload/download website (over secure channel requiring user identification and passwords). When the scanned documents are ready for the requestor, staff emails them with the link; the requestor comes to the DOL site, logs on and downloads their documents. All other requesters have their documents sent to them in paper format through U.S. mail. However, through either statutory or regulatory changes, the Director needs to begin to accept digital signatures. This would necessitate that both outbound and inbound documents be digitized.


Web access differs from electronic transactions in that the latter utilize standard data format and transport standards (e.g., Federal Reserve EFT, EDI, NCCI) and are one-way reporting transactions that are stored electronically in KDOL databases. Web access, on the other hand, is based on external customer access through the World Wide Web to the division’s workers compensation system to make requests, file digital forms (e.g., ranging from litigation forms to an application for self-insurance or certificate for excess insurance), communicate with division staff about cases or pending business (i.e., two-way transactions), and retrieve documents for download or review. These features would have to be built either as an incremental enhancement to the current Biltmore system or as a fundamental feature of the new web-based workers compensation system.

Web access would rely upon “account self-service.” External customer would create and access accounts and perform work within the system. For example, a lawyer could access the system, review relevant case documents, and through a web form request a hearing on behalf of his client instead of filing a paper form. The lawyer would be able to review the case file and immediately verify that the document was filed, and would also be able to use the system to copy opposing counsel on the filing.

Communication would be behind the “firewall” (all external accounts would be controlled through user identification and passwords) and handled through secure messaging. The system would allow what would resemble instant messaging, and would provide for integration with KDOL email system. Finally, digital images of case documents can be placed into case files by KDOL without the need of printing or mailing. Web access to digitized documents is based on scanning and digital storage. This in short, describes web access and differentiates it from the use of electronic transactions.

Planned


Return  
to  
Index

-  Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.


 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved
-  Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology
-  Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



**Labor, Kansas Department of (KDOL) (Continued)****KDOL Workers Compensation Digitization Implementation Project (Continued)**

The highest volume of form submissions consist of request for preliminary and other hearing, filing of motions and other litigation submissions, and the determinations of awards and settlements. These submissions are paper-based and result in numerous physical paper file storage and distribution processes within the division. One phase of this project would identify and eliminate as many of the paper submissions involved in these processes as possible, focusing on the area of dispute management, which is extremely paper-heavy. A web-based system would allow the creation of electronic “forms” by which counsel could request preliminary hearing, file motions, and other submissions directly within the system.

Paper printing and mailing of case documentation, particularly in the dispute management area, are costly and require numerous process “hand-offs.” Additionally, many processes, such as hearing scheduling, require inefficient “telephone tag” interactions. Two-way case interactions between KDOL and its customers could take place within a secure environment, through either instant messaging services or “inbox” style email communications. These could integrate with KDOL email system, and could also provide secure status “alerts” to external customers via email. Information could be provided through the web concerning case status, and also allow customers to conduct more efficient case activities. This project would enable the Division to improve these communications by using web-based services. These services would include case record access through secure portals, an email alert system, and a case event calendar.

All legal correspondence is conducted through paper. All paper files are in-house for cases with activity within the last 3 years; for years beyond this date, all records are stored at the Kansas Records Center. Digital storage (i.e., scanning) of these documents would enable these documents to be accessed via a web portal, and would significantly reduce paper storage costs.

With request to digital storage, the division has two scanners within the research unit that scan all paper-submitted FROI (1101-a) forms, settlements, and elections. These scanned images are indexed to claimants, employer, and case transactions within the Biltmore application. Indexing is done by staff through a Kofax scanning software license.

**Project Status:** KDWC has hired, under a separate project plan (running 4/1/2014 through 9/30/2016), WorkComp Strategies LLC, to assist the Division in planning for the WC Digitization Implementation. WorkComp Strategies LLC will assist with project management and technical advice in constructing an RFP for the implementation phase of the project, which includes: business needs analysis, current system functionality, gap analysis, Request for Information, conceptual system design, baseline requirement, requirements analysis, alternative analysis, cost benefit analysis and feasibility study report, which will result in a high level plan for the implementation phase and RFP for an implementation vendor.

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#)


Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.



Infrastructure Project



Project completed and PIER approved



Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).



Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.



**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT)****CANSYS Replacement (CANSYS)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,200,000-\$4,400,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	SFY 2016	
Estimated Close-Out End:	SFY 2018	
CITO Project Determination:	1/12/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The Control Section Analysis System (CANSYS) holds Roadway Geometric information widely used by KDOT to plan projects and design the highway system as well as report to the FHWA. CANSYS is based on an off-the-shelf software application but includes many KDOT custom functions and reports developed over the last decade. Several KDOT systems share data with the CANSYS database. These include Access Permits, Bridge Office Management System (BROMS), Crew Card, Crossing Inventory Information Management System (CIIMS), Data Warehouse, Enhanced Priority Formula System (EPFS), Kansas Accident Reporting System (KCARS), KanPlan, PONTIS, and WinCPMS.

The CANSYS application went through a major upgrade in 1999/2000 when it was ported from a mainframe application to the current system to bring it into alignment with technologies that were current at the time. Today, CANSYS is at the point we need to consider another major upgrade. Requirements have changed and CANSYS is limited in ability to meet those requirements without significant enhancements.


**E-Government:** At this time, this system is not planned to have e-government utilization.

**Technical Architecture:** Will be consistent with KDOT's approved direction for systems architecture, but specifics have not been determined.


**Project Description and Scope:** A project is underway to collect and document the CANSYS current state and future state requirements. A product of this effort will include the state Feasibility Study Report (FSR) for CITO review and approval.

**Project Status:** Planned. This project is a part of the Application and Architecture Review / Refresh Program (AARP). *\*The Feasibility Study Report and High Level Plan were submitted on 7/8/15 and are under CITO review.*

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT) (Continued)****Capital Inventory Management System (CPIN) Replacement**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$300,000-\$600,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	SFY 2016	
Estimated Close-Out End:	SFY 2017	
CITO Project Determination Date:	9/25/08	
CITO Project Determination Updated:	1/12/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The current Capital Inventory system was custom developed in the mid-1980s. Although this application was upgraded to DB2 in the past, the environment it resides in has become more difficult to support and upgrade. The ability to integrate the information contained within this application with new KDOT applications has become a issue for continued development and KDOT business requirements have changed significantly. This system has undergone several modifications but the design has remained unchanged. New data requirements and business rules continually evolve requiring workarounds for the system. This Capital Inventory system would allow KDOT to address new business needs and allow the agency to expose asset data to new systems.

**E-Government:** At this time, this system is not planned to have e-government utilization.


**Technical Architecture:** Will be consistent with KDOT's approved direction for systems architecture, but specifics have not been determined.

**Project Description and Scope:** The scope of this project would be to replace the existing Capital Inventory System. This system will maintain the inventory of equipment and capital expenditures by category and location. Inventory subsystems include building, land, materials, office equipment, radios, shop equipment, and storage areas. This system will be designed to provide a solution for KDOT agency wide. It has interfaces with multiple KDOT systems and those interfaces will also be addressed to ensure that existing functionality is maintained.


**Project Status:** Planned. This project is a part of the Application and Architecture Review / Refresh Program (AARP). The original Project Determination Letter was dated 9/25/08. Updated Project Determination Letter provided on 1/12/15.

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


**I** Infrastructure Project

**P** Project completed and PIER approved

**C** Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

**A** Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

**+**

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT) (Continued)****Construction Management System (CMS) Replacement**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$3,850,000-5,500,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	SFY 2015	
Estimated Close-Out End:	SFY 2018	
CITO Project Determination Date:	9/26/11	
CITO Project Determination Updated:	1/12/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The current Construction Management System (CMS) was custom developed in the mid-1980s. This application consists of a Contract Management System and Materials Test System which is used in keeping with Federal guidelines and in support of agency construction projects. The CMS application is currently on an architectural platform that is sun-setting and is becoming increasingly difficult and expensive to support and upgrade. In addition, KDOT is looking for opportunities to integrate CMS information with other applications. KDOT business requirements and processes have also changed. This system has undergone modifications but yet the design has remained unchanged. New data requirements and business rules continually evolve requiring workarounds for the system. The CMS is utilized across the state in all KDOT offices and locations. A replacement for CMS would allow KDOT to address new business needs and allow the agency to further the integration of core management information systems.

**E-Government:** At this time, this system is not planned to have e-government utilization.

**Technical Architecture:** Will be consistent with KDOT's approved direction for systems architecture, but specifics have not been determined.

**Project Description and Scope:** The scope of this project is to replace the existing Construction Management System. The new system will be built on current or emerging technologies that will be in alignment with other recently upgraded systems.

**Project Status:** Project planning is underway. Possible COTS solutions are currently being evaluated. The original Project Determination Letter was dated 9/26/11. Updated Project Determination Letter provided on 1/12/15.

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

⚠ Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

⏸ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT) (Continued)****Consumable Inventory Management System (CIMS)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$300,000-450,000*	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	SFY 2015	
Estimated Close-Out End:	SFY 2016	
CITO Project Determination:	9/25/08	
CITO Project Determination Updated:	1/12/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The current Consumable Inventory system was custom developed in the mid-1980s. The software technology (VSAM, CICS, COBOL) utilized to build this application has become functionally obsolete. The primary file structure has proven to be incompatible with new emerging technologies. The ability to integrate the information contained within this application with new KDOT applications has become an issue for continued development. This system is utilized across the state in all KDOT offices and locations. Implementing a new system would allow KDOT to upgrade systems to address changing business needs and allow KDOT to expose the consumable data to new systems.


**E-Government:** At this time, this system is not planned to have e-government utilization.

**Technical Architecture:** Will be consistent with KDOT's approved direction for systems architecture, but specifics have not been determined.

**Project Description and Scope:** The scope of this project is to replace the existing twenty-five (25) year old Consumable Inventory system which is responsible for maintaining inventory locations, stock item descriptions, process receipt issues and transfers. This system would be designed to provide a solution for KDOT's storekeeper's agency wide. This legacy system has interfaces to multiple KDOT systems including Crew Card. Interfaces will be addressed to ensure that existing systems maintain functionality.

**Project Status:** Project planning is underway and a business case is pending approval by KDOT executive staff. This project is a part of the Application & Architecture Review / Refresh Program (AARP). The original Project Determination Letter was dated 9/25/08. Updated Project Determination Letter provided on 1/12/15.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project


 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

**Transportation, Kansas Department of (KDOT) (Continued)****Equipment Management System (EMS)**

CITO Approval: Not Yet Requested  
 Estimated Project Cost: \$600,000-\$1,200,000\* (Est. planning, execution, close-out)  
 Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost: To Be Determined  
 Estimated Planning Start: SFY 2017  
 Estimated Close-Out End: SFY 2019  
 CITO Project Determination: 1/12/15

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** The EMS system was developed around 1980 utilizing internal staff resources for programming and system development. The current system has many capabilities but also has many limitations. The Shop Management System (SMS) and the Equipment Preventive Maintenance System (EPMS) might be considered subsystems of EMS since they interact closely together. All three systems are located on the mainframe, and the current goal is to move them into a different environment.

KDOT's objective for this project is to either build or purchase a system which will allow more efficient management of KDOT's fleet of equipment. The new system should allow timelier data transfer between systems and reduce duplication of effort. Expected outcomes would include easier reporting, improved preventive maintenance utilization and tracking, and improved budgeting and performance measurement tools.

**E-Government:** At this time, this system is not planned to have e-government utilization.

**Technical Architecture:** Will be consistent with KDOT's approved direction for systems architecture, but specifics have not been determined.

**Project Description and Scope:** The goal of this project is to move all three of the related systems (EMS, SMS, and EPMS) off the mainframe. This will most likely require assessing the relationship between EMS and the other systems, including Crew Card, which uses and passes EPMS data to the Cost Center Feedback (CCFB) system. The project also calls for a review of business rules and processes, defining each system's requirements.

**Project Status:** Planned. This project is a part of the Application & Architecture Review / Refresh Program (AARP).

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#)

😊 Meeting targeted goals.

🛑 Project Stopped/Canceled.

★ Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I Infrastructure Project

P Project completed and PIER approved

C Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

⚠ Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

⏸ Project on hold.

⊕ Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

⊖ Reporting insufficient.

✚ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## REGENTS

## Kansas, University of (KU)

## Exchange 2013






CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** Upgrade of Microsoft Exchange from 2010 to 2013.**E-Government:** N/A**Technical Architecture:** Microsoft Exchange.**Project Description and Scope:** KU Lawrence campus faculty, staff, and students email services.**Project Status:** Initial stages of discussion.

Planned

[Return  
to  
Index](#) Meeting targeted goals. Project Stopped/Canceled. Project completed and waiting for PIER. Infrastructure Project Project completed and PIER approved Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent). Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent). Project on hold. Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent). Reporting insufficient.

Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

Kansas, University of (KU) (Continued)

Lync Enterprise Voice Implementation (Lync UC)		
CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	To Be Determined	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Planning Start:	To Be Determined	
Estimated Close-Out End:	To Be Determined	
CITO Project Determination:	12/22/14	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost  
To Be Determined

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** Replacement of voice system with Lync Unified Communications and replacement of the Audix voicemail system with Lync Unified Messaging. This will reduce the cost of desktop phones and campus-wide telecommunications costs.

**E-Government:** N/A






**Technical Architecture:** The project will implement e911 service on top of the Microsoft Lync architecture already in place.

**Project Description and Scope:** KU Lawrence campus faculty and staff, enterprise voice service and voicemail.

**Project Status:** Initial stages of discussion.

Planned

[Return to Index](#)

 Meeting targeted goals.	 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).
 Project Stopped/Canceled.	 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).
 Project completed and waiting for PIER.	 Project on hold.
 Infrastructure Project	 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).
 Project completed and PIER approved	 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology



**Kansas State University (KSU)****Applicant Tracking System (ATS)**

CITO Approval:	Not Yet Requested	
Estimated Project Cost:	\$350,000	(Est. planning, execution, close-out)
Est. 3 Future Yrs. of Operational Cost:	\$150,000	
Estimated Planning Start:	5/15	
Estimated Close-Out End:	5/16	
CITO Project Determination:	3/30/15	

Anticipated Funding Source for Project Cost

K-State Central Funding


**\* The costs listed are a rough estimate. When a project plan is developed for CITO approval, a more accurate estimate will be available.**

**Project Business Objective(s) or Motivator(s):** Kansas State University recently completed an ambitious university strategic plan setting goals for K-State 2025. As called for in the plan, the university must have efficient, effective, and integrated university human resource processes and services that facilitate the recruitment, retention, and development of a diverse, highly qualified, and high performing workforce and place employees in the right position with the right skill sets at the right time. The Report on Hiring Process Assessment Focus Groups (November 2011) and related briefing materials point out perceived strengths, weaknesses, and priority areas of focus for improvement related to the recruitment and hiring of faculty and unclassified staff. Additionally, there is a need to streamline and automate many hiring related processes and procedures. The University has conducted a Kaizen event (February 2015) and has streamlined the hiring process from start to finish.

Kansas State University seeks to build the necessary human resources capacities, competencies, structures, policies and procedures, best practices, services, and infrastructure needed to attract, recruit, retain, and develop the highly talented, diverse faculty and staff envisioned in 2025. The vision for the ATS project is for Kansas State University to use a nearly paper-free system to manage its recruitment and selection process where the system:

- Supports all phases of the process including candidate experience (to include job searching, application, communication, job alerts, etc.), requisition, advertisement, acceptance and screening of applications, evaluating and interviewing applicants, communication with applicants, onboarding, regulatory reporting and records retention.
- Provides Talent Acquisition (TA) and hiring department staff visibility to documents, status and metrics at every stage in the recruitment process.
- Supports university's sustainability goals by minimizing the use of physical resources and realizes efficiencies in a streamlined application process for applicants, hiring managers and TA staff.

Planned


[Return  
to  
Index](#)
 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.


 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved

 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).


 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

Applicant Tracking System (ATS) (Continued)

E-Government: No information provided

Technical Architecture: No information provided.

**Project Description and Scope:** Kansas State University is looking for a complete integrated applicant tracking solution, including software, configuration and installation, integration, testing, implementation, training, and on-going software maintenance and technical support.

Kansas State University requires a system that is user friendly and intuitive. The system should allow job openings to be easily posted and managed. The system should provide search and report capabilities to hiring managers and Talent Acquisition (TA) staff.

Kansas State University requires a system that works for both external and internal hires. All data transmitted in the system, by applicants, employees, hiring managers, and/or TA staff must be encrypted and secure.

**Project Status:** The vendor has been selected and this project is below the CITO reportable estimated cost threshold of \$250,000. This planned project will be removed from future Quarterly Reports.

Planned

[Return to Index](#)

-  Meeting targeted goals.

 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.

 Infrastructure Project

 Project completed and PIER approved
-  Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.

 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology
- \* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

## SYMBOLS



Project meeting targeted goals.



Project completed and waiting for closeout PIER

P

PIER approved.

C

Caution - Project has changed scope, or missed targeted goals by more than 10 percent. Reporting to the Joint Committee on Information Technology (JCIT) may be recommended.

A

Alert - Project has changed scope, or missed targeted goals by more than 20 percent. Reporting to the Joint Committee on Information Technology (JCIT) may be recommended.



Project has changed scope, or missed targeted goals by more than 20 percent. Review and report to JCIT and CITO required. Review by 3rd party may be recommended. Symbol can also mean project has been stopped or canceled.



Project on hold.



Recast – Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).

I

Infrastructure Project.



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology.

\*

*Updated key information, occurring after this report period.*



Meeting targeted goals.



Project Stopped/Canceled.



Project completed and waiting for PIER.

I

Infrastructure Project

P

Project completed and PIER approved

C

Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).

A

Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).



Project on hold.



Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 30 percent).



Reporting insufficient.



Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* *Updated key information, occurring after this report period.*


Quarterly Executive Summary Report .....	2
ACTIVE PROJECTS SECTION .....	10
Project Report Assessments .....	11
EXECUTIVE BRANCH .....	11
ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF .....	11
<i>Focus on Customer Upgrade Support (FOCUS) Project</i> .....	11
CORPORATION COMMISSION, KANSAS.....	13
<i>Kansas Trucking Regulatory Assistance Network (KTRAN)</i> .....	13
EDUCATION, KANSAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF (KSDE) .....	15
<i>KN-CLAIM System Replacement</i> .....	15
HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDHE) .....	17
<i>Kansas Eligibility Enforcement System IV (KEES IV)</i> .....	17
<i>Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (MITA) / Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) Pre-Project III</i> .....	21
<i>KDHE/DHCF State Self Insurance Fund (SSIF) Claims Data Management System</i> .....	24
<i>WIC SQL Server Project - Infrastructure</i> .....	26
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, OFFICE OF (OITS) .....	27
<i>Executive Branch Electronic Mail Consolidation</i> .....	27
<i>OITS Information Technology Financial Management (ITFM) System II</i> .....	29
<i>OITS Internet Upgrade FY 2015 - Infrastructure</i> .....	31
<i>State Defense Building Fiber Project – Infrastructure</i> .....	33
INVESTIGATION, KANSAS BUREAU OF (KBI) .....	34
<i>Kansas DUI Tracking System (Record and Police Impaired Drivers – RAPID) III</i> .....	34
JUVENILE JUSTICE AUTHORITY (JJA) .....	37
<i>Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) Rewrite II</i> .....	37
KANSAS CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM (KCJIS).....	39
<i>Kansas eCitation II</i> .....	39
LABOR, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOL) .....	41
<i>KDOL Unemployment Insurance Contact Center Integrated Voice Response (IVR) &amp; Platform Upgrade – Infrastructure</i> .....	41
<i>KDOL Worker's Compensation Digitization Planning Project</i> .....	43
LOTTERY, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF .....	45
<i>Sales Force Automation &amp; Electronic Device Deployment</i> .....	45
REVENUE, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOR) .....	46
<i>DMV Modernization Project</i> .....	46
<i>KanDrive</i> .....	48
TRANSPORTATION, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOT) .....	49
<i>Document Management System Replacement</i> .....	49
REGENTS.....	51
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY .....	51
<i>KSU Converged Infrastructure</i> .....	51
JUDICIAL BRANCH .....	53
OFFICE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	53
<i>Judicial Branch Electronic Filing Statewide Implementation Project</i> .....	53
COMPLETED PROJECTS SECTION .....	54
PROJECTS WITH PIERS RECEIVED .....	55

	Meeting targeted goals.	<b>C</b>	Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).
	Project Stopped/Canceled.	<b>A</b>	Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).
	Project completed and waiting for PIER.		Project on hold.
<b>I</b>	Infrastructure Project more than 30 percent).		Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by
<b>P</b>	Project completed and PIER approved		Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.


+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

EXECUTIVE BRANCH.....	55
ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF .....	55
<i>Oracle BI Analytics Implementation – Data Warehouse Upgrade II</i> .....	55
HEALING ARTS, KANSAS STATE BOARD OF (KSBOHA) .....	55
<i>Licensing/Enforcement Database Application</i> .....	55
HIGHWAY PATROL, KANSAS .....	56
<i>Digital Video Refresh Project – Infrastructure</i> .....	56
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, KANSAS (KPERS) .....	56
<i>2012 Sub HB 2333 – Tier 3 Cash Balance System</i> .....	56
REVENUE, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOR) .....	57
<i>Kansas Commercial Registration, Alcoholic Beverage Control, Fuel Tax System (K-CRAFTS)</i> .....	57
REGENTS .....	57
PITTSBURGH STATE UNIVERSITY (PSU) .....	57
<i>PSU Integrated Library System Project (ILS)</i> .....	57
JUDICIAL BRANCH.....	58
OFFICE OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION.....	58
<i>Judicial Branch OJA Filings and Dispositions Data Submission Interface Project</i> .....	58
LEGISLATIVE.....	59
LEGISLATIVE .....	59
<i>2013 PC Lease Project</i> .....	59
APPROVED PROJECTS SECTION .....	60
EXECUTIVE BRANCH.....	61
CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, KANSAS DEPARTMENT FOR (DCF) .....	61
<i>Child Support Services System (CSSS) Modernization Planning Project</i> .....	61
<i>HB2015 Project</i> .....	62
HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDHE).....	63
<i>KDHE/DHCF MMIS Modernization and Fiscal Agent Operations Takeover Services</i>	
<i>Reprocurement Project</i> .....	63
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, OFFICE OF (OITS) .....	64
<i>Executive Branch Technology Modernization (EBTM) Project</i> .....	64
INVESTIGATION, KANSAS BUREAU OF .....	65
<i>Security Architecture Modernization – Identity Access Management Project (SAM-IAM)</i> .....	65
REVENUE, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOR) .....	66
<i>Commercial Driver Licenses (CDL) Knowledge and Skill Testing System Project</i> .....	66
<i>Taxation Imaging</i> .....	67
SECRETARY OF STATE, KANSAS .....	68
<i>Elections and Voter Information System Renewal (ELVIS Renewal)</i> .....	68
REGENTS .....	69
FORT HAYS STATE UNIVERSITY (FHSU) .....	69
<i>FHSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation</i> .....	69
PITTSBURGH STATE UNIVERSITY (PSU) .....	70
<i>PSU Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)</i> .....	70


 Meeting targeted goals.


 Project Stopped/Canceled.

 Project completed and waiting for PIER.

 Infrastructure Project  
more than 30 percent).

 Project completed and PIER approved


 Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by  
more than 10 percent).

 Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by  
more than 20 percent).

 Project on hold.


 Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by

 Reporting insufficient.

 Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

PLANNED PROJECTS SECTION .....	71
PLANNED PROJECTS .....	72
EXECUTIVE BRANCH .....	72
CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, KANSAS DEPARTMENT FOR (DCF) .....	72
<i>DCF Cloud Computing (DCC)</i> .....	72
<i>DCF Enterprise Content Management Assessment (DECMA)</i> .....	73
<i>DCF Mainframe Application Migration (DMAM)</i> .....	74
<i>DCF Office 365 Implementation (DOI)</i> .....	75
CORPORATION COMMISSION, KANSAS (KCC) .....	76
<i>Document Management System</i> .....	76
CORRECTIONS, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOC).....	77
<i>Kansas Juvenile and Adult Correction System (KJACS)</i> .....	77
INVESTIGATION, KANSAS BUREAU OF (KBI) .....	79
<i>Kansas Incident Based Reporting Replacement</i> .....	79
<i>Livescan Equipment Purchase</i> .....	80
LABOR, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOL) .....	81
<i>KDOL Incarceration Database and Victim Notification Service (ID&amp;VNS)</i> .....	81
<i>KDOL Workers Compensation Digitization Implementation Project</i> .....	83
TRANSPORTATION, KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF (KDOT) .....	86
<i>CANSYS Replacement (CANSYS)</i> .....	86
<i>Capital Inventory Management System (CPIN) Replacement</i> .....	87
<i>Construction Management System (CMS) Replacement</i> .....	88
<i>Consumable Inventory Management System (CIMS)</i> .....	89
<i>Equipment Management System (EMS)</i> .....	90
REGENTS.....	91
KANSAS, UNIVERSITY OF (KU).....	91
<i>Exchange 2013</i> .....	91
<i>Lync Enterprise Voice Implementation (Lync UC)</i> .....	92
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY (KSU) .....	93
<i>Applicant Tracking System (ATS)</i> .....	93

	Meeting targeted goals.	<b>C</b>	Caution - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 10 percent).
	Project Stopped/Canceled.	<b>A</b>	Alert - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by more than 20 percent).
	Project completed and waiting for PIER.		Project on hold.
<b>I</b>	Infrastructure Project more than 30 percent).		Recast - Changed scope, or missed targeted goals (by
<b>P</b>	Project completed and PIER approved		Reporting insufficient.

\* Updated key information, occurring after this report period.

+ Project Manager certified in Project Management Methodology